Water purification and filtration methods

Grant Kinkead
Independent Researcher, Melbourne, VIC, Australia
grant@kinkead.au

***Abstract*—Hydroponic crop performance depends on the quality of source water before nutrients are added, because unsuitable water can introduce suspended solids, dissolved contaminants, salts, and microbial hazards that interfere with irrigation delivery, nutrient formulation, and plant health. The technical challenge is that water treatment is not a single process: particulate filtration, adsorption, membrane separation, and disinfection operate through different mechanisms and target different contaminant classes. This paper synthesises review evidence relevant to hydroponic and closely related water-treatment contexts in order to clarify the scientific roles, strengths, and limitations of common pre-treatment methods. The evidence shows that filtration primarily removes suspended matter and helps protect downstream equipment, adsorption is suited to selected dissolved contaminants but is limited by media exhaustion, membrane processes provide broad physical separation but require pre-treatment and generate concentrate streams, and disinfection targets microorganisms without necessarily removing dissolved impurities. Across the literature, the strongest recurring lesson is that no single method reliably solves all source-water problems, and treatment trains are often needed when water contains mixed contaminant loads. For hydroponic practice, the main implication is that water purification must be matched to the constituent being removed or controlled, while recognising trade-offs such as fouling, by-product formation, energy demand, and post-treatment adjustment requirements.**

I. Introduction

Source water is the chemical and biological foundation of every hydroponic nutrient solution. Before fertilisers are mixed, the incoming water may already contain suspended particles, microbes, dissolved organic matter, salts, or reactive disinfectant-sensitive constituents that influence plant production and system reliability. The treatment question is therefore not whether water should be purified in the abstract, but which mechanism should be applied to which contaminant class. Reviews of emerging water treatment technologies show that adsorption, filtration, coagulation, membrane processes, chlorination, chloramination, ozonation, and ultraviolet treatment differ fundamentally in their operating principles and in the microorganisms or impurities they are able to control [1]. This distinction matters because a process that suppresses bacteria or protozoa does not necessarily remove dissolved salts, and a process that separates particles does not necessarily provide microbial inactivation [2].

For hydroponic preparation, the practical consequence is that water treatment must be understood as a set of complementary functions rather than a single universal remedy. Evidence from specialty crop water management clearly separates particulate-removal filters, activated carbon adsorption, membrane filtration, and disinfectants such as chlorine, ozone, and ultraviolet irradiation as distinct treatment categories with different targets and operating roles [3]. In engineering terms, filters mainly protect emitters and downstream units from solids loading, adsorption media remove selected dissolved compounds through surface interactions, membranes impose a physical separation barrier, and disinfectants reduce viable microbial populations. A treatment choice that ignores these distinctions can leave the main contaminant problem unresolved even when some form of purification has been installed [4].

The importance of careful matching is reinforced by operational evidence from irrigation water management, where suspended solids, scaling, and biofilm formation contribute to clogging and where water-quality indices alone may not capture all risks relevant to delivery infrastructure [5]. In hydroponics, these same pre-existing water problems can distort nutrient dosing, reduce flow uniformity, and complicate sanitation. This paper therefore examines how unsuitable source water can be treated before it enters a hydroponic system. It focuses on four central categories, particulate filtration, adsorption, membrane separation, and disinfection, and argues that their scientific roles and limitations must be compared directly if source water is to be conditioned appropriately for hydroponic nutrient preparation [1], [3], [5].

II. Background and Scope

Prior literature relevant to hydroponic and specialty-crop water treatment consistently shows that water problems are multi-constituent problems. Reviews of container-crop water use and treatment describe treatment trains involving filters, activated carbon, membranes, and disinfectants, while also emphasising that single technologies have incomplete contaminant coverage and important operational constraints [3]. Fouling can reduce hydraulic performance, adsorptive media eventually exhaust, membrane processes can produce concentrate streams, and chemical or oxidative treatments may leave residues or require careful control [3]. This background establishes an essential boundary for the present paper: common water-treatment methods should not be compared as interchangeable options, because their design logic is tied to the specific contaminants they remove or control.

A related body of evidence comes from wastewater hydroponics and pollutant-removal systems. That literature documents that even biologically active and plant-assisted treatment systems can show incomplete pollutant removal, salinity build-up, unresolved pathogen internalisation risks, contaminated biomass disposal problems, and high energy demand under

some configurations [6]. These findings are important not because wastewater hydroponics is identical to source-water preparation, but because they illustrate a broader principle: treatment success is constrained by the chemistry, biology, and residual management burden of the incoming water. For hydroponic source-water preparation, this means that a pre-treatment method should be selected with awareness of what it leaves behind, what secondary waste it produces, and whether further conditioning will still be necessary before nutrients are added [6].

The clearest conceptual framework for the present scope comes from pathogen-focused water treatment reviews that explicitly distinguish adsorption, filtration, membrane processes, disinfection, coagulation, and advanced oxidation by mechanism, strengths, and limitations [1]. That evidence aligns closely with the central explanatory need in hydroponics: growers must understand not only how to remove or control contaminants, but also why one class of treatment cannot automatically substitute for another. Accordingly, this paper does not attempt to survey every water-quality issue in soilless agriculture. Instead, it synthesises accepted evidence to answer a narrower question: how common pre-treatment methods differ scientifically, what contaminants they principally target, and what limitations must be recognised before treated water is used as the basis of a hydroponic nutrient solution [1], [3], [6].

III. Core Concepts and Mechanisms

The first core concept is that treatment mechanisms define both capability and limitation. Filtration removes particles through size exclusion or related physical capture processes, but accumulated solids can clog media and reduce throughput. Adsorption captures selected dissolved contaminants on reactive surfaces, but the process is constrained by finite site availability and eventual saturation. Membrane separation imposes a barrier that can reject fine particles, microorganisms, and in some cases dissolved constituents, but typically requires pretreatment to control fouling and scaling. Disinfection acts differently again, inactivating or damaging microorganisms through chemical or photonic mechanisms, yet often without removing dissolved salts or other non-living impurities [1]. These distinctions explain why treatment selection in hydroponics must begin with contaminant identification rather than with preference for a familiar device or technology label.

A second concept is that not all biologically mediated or water-conditioning processes belong to the same decision category as source-water purification. In bioponic systems, reviews distinguish microbial mineralisation and nitrification processes from simpler extraction approaches used to generate nutrient solutions from organic materials [7]. That distinction is useful because it shows that transformation processes can change the chemical form of compounds without necessarily functioning as direct substitutes for particulate filtration, adsorption, membrane separation, or disinfection. For hydroponic source-water preparation, the implication is methodological clarity: biological processing may be relevant in some agricultural contexts, but it does not remove the need to identify whether the immediate problem is suspended solids, dissolved contaminants, microbial load, or excessive salinity before choosing a pre-treatment method [7].

The third concept is that treatment limits arise not only from poor design, but from the inherent behaviour of the process and the treated matrix. In work on organic nutrient-solution production, incomplete nitrification, clogging, phytotoxic intermediates, and nutrient imbalance are reported as practical constraints when biological conversion is relied upon [7]. Although this evidence is not a direct account of source-water treatment categories, it highlights a transferable lesson for hydroponics: a process may address one problem while introducing another operational or chemical burden. Applied to water purification, this means that removal efficiency alone is an incomplete selection criterion. A method that controls microbes but alters chemistry unfavourably, or one that removes particles but leaves dissolved hazards intact, still requires compensating steps before the water is suitable for nutrient preparation [1], [7].

IV. Evidence Synthesis

Evidence from broader soilless-cultivation reviews helps place source-water treatment within the larger management context of hydroponic production. These reviews discuss microbial control, filtration-related system management, and water-quality handling, but they do not themselves provide a full conceptual contrast among adsorption, membrane separation, particulate filtration, and disinfection [8]. This partial coverage is revealing. It suggests that hydroponic practice often recognises water quality as important while still compressing distinct treatment functions into a general category of water management. When compared with the more explicit mechanism-based framework in the water-treatment literature, the gap becomes clear: hydroponic decision making benefits from a more disciplined distinction between removing solids, removing selected dissolved compounds, excluding salts and fine impurities through membranes, and reducing viable pathogens through disinfection [1], [8].

The same soilless-cultivation literature also reports system-level constraints such as microbial instability and recycling difficulties [8]. These are not narrow source-water findings, but they matter because they show how upstream water quality can propagate through the production system. If source water enters the system with an unresolved contaminant burden, later recirculation and reuse can magnify management complexity rather than reduce it. This strengthens the argument for pre-treatment before nutrient preparation: conditioning water at the entry point can reduce the downstream burden on monitoring, sanitation, and corrective management. At the same time, the evidence warns against overclaiming, because system instability cannot be solved by one treatment category alone. A hydroponic operator may still need combined control of solids, dissolved contaminants, and microbial hazards, depending on the water source and reuse strategy [3], [8].

Irrigation water management reviews add a practical systems perspective by showing how filtration, flushing, chemical treatment, and monitoring are combined to manage contaminants and clogging risks [5]. Their focus is not a general taxonomy of common source-water treatment methods, yet the operational lessons are highly relevant. Suspended solids, precipitates, and biofilm precursors can impair delivery systems even after basic treatment, so hydraulic maintenance and post-treatment management remain necessary. This evidence supports a synthesis in which hydroponic source-water preparation is understood as both a water-quality task and an infrastructure-protection task. Filtration may be indispensable for protecting emitters and downstream units, but it is insufficient when the real problem is dissolved organics, salinity, or viable pathogens. In those cases, adsorption, membrane separation, or disinfection must be considered as separate, purpose-specific complements rather than optional extras [1], [3], [5].

V. Practical Implications and Limitations

A first practical implication is that pre-treatment for hydroponics should be specified against the dominant constituent of concern. Irrigation-focused evidence shows that anti-clogging measures such as filtration, flushing, and chemical treatment can reduce operational risks, but this literature does not by itself establish a full conceptual distinction among the four treatment categories considered here [5]. For practitioners, the limitation is important: an anti-clogging response can protect hardware while leaving dissolved contaminants or microbial hazards insufficiently controlled. In practical terms, particulate filtration is most appropriate when the main threat is suspended matter and downstream fouling, yet it should not be treated as evidence that adsorption, membrane separation, or disinfection have become unnecessary. The constituent being removed must still determine the treatment logic [1], [5].

A second implication concerns disinfection, which is often prominent in hydroponic sanitation discussions. Reviews of hydroponic technologies distinguish filtration, pasteurisation, ultraviolet irradiation, ozone, peroxide, and chlorine as sanitation options with different microbial-control roles [9]. This is useful because it confirms that disinfection is a distinct treatment function rather than a general purification synonym. However, the same evidence also indicates the limitation of treating sanitation as a complete water-preparation strategy. Disinfection may suppress undesirable organisms, but it does not provide a comprehensive framework for removing suspended solids, selectively adsorbing dissolved contaminants, or reducing total dissolved salts. The practical consequence is that hydroponic managers should use disinfection when microbial control is the target, while recognising that other constituent classes may still require filtration, adsorption, or membrane treatment before the water is chemically suitable for nutrient formulation [3], [9].

A third implication is that hydroponic water treatment remains inseparable from monitoring and from recognition of residual uncertainty. High-level reviews of soilless systems emphasise contamination risks, recirculation burdens, and the need for continued water-quality management rather than one-time purification [10]. This broad perspective does not define the operating principles of common source-water methods, but it does clarify a central limitation of practice: treatment performance must be interpreted within an ongoing management system. Even after purification, operators may need to assess whether the treated water requires remineralisation, whether dissolved constituents remain at problematic levels, or whether disinfected water still needs protection from recontamination. The strongest evidence from treatment-train literature therefore supports a cautious operational stance: source-water purification is necessary, but it is only effective when matched to contaminant type, integrated with monitoring, and evaluated for residual chemical and biological suitability before nutrient addition [1], [3], [10].

VI. Conclusion

The evidence synthesised in this paper supports a clear answer to the question of how unsuitable source water should be treated before entering a hydroponic system. It should be treated according to the constituent that must be removed or controlled, because particulate filtration, adsorption, membrane separation, and disinfection solve different classes of problem through different mechanisms [1], [3]. Filtration is primarily a solids-control and equipment-protection measure, adsorption addresses selected dissolved contaminants, membrane separation provides broader physical exclusion at the cost of fouling sensitivity and waste concentrate, and disinfection reduces microbial hazards without necessarily correcting dissolved water chemistry [1], [3], [9]. The shared limitation across the evidence base is that no single method can be assumed to make all poor-quality water suitable for hydroponic nutrient preparation.

The most valuable next step for practice and evaluation is therefore improved alignment between source-water diagnosis and treatment-train design. The reviewed evidence indicates that mixed contaminant loads, operational burdens, residual wastes, and post-treatment monitoring requirements are central constraints, not secondary details [3], [5], [6]. For hydroponic water preparation, the defensible scientific position is cautious but actionable: characterise the water problem first, select the treatment mechanism that matches that problem, and combine methods where contaminant classes differ. This approach respects both the strengths and the limitations of current water purification and filtration methods while avoiding the overstatement that any one technology can provide universal hydroponic readiness [1], [10].

Acknowledgment

The author used artificial intelligence tools to assist with manuscript drafting and revision, and with LaTeX and bibliography preparation. The author reviewed all AI-assisted output and remains responsible for the final content.

REFERENCES

[1] O. V. Obayomi, D. C. Olawoyin, O. Oguntimehin, L. S. Mustapha, S. O. Kolade, P. O. Oladoye, S. Oh, and K. S. Obayomi, "Exploring emerging water treatment technologies for the removal of microbial pathogens," *Current Research in Biotechnology*, 2024.

[2] M. Elakrouch, M. Mohaddab, A. Rachid, and M. H. Jijakli, "Soilless agriculture at a crossroads: Strengths, challenges, and prospects of hydroponics, aquaponics, and bioponics in relation to precision farming," *Sustainability*, 2026.

[3] J. C. Majsztrik, R. T. Fernandez, P. R. Fisher, D. R. Hitchcock, J. Lea-Cox, J. S. Owen, Jr., L. R. Oki, and S. A. White, "Water use and treatment in container-grown specialty crop production: A review," *Water, Air, & Soil Pollution*, 2017.

[4] A. Fussy and J. Papenbrock, "An overview of soil and soilless cultivation techniques-chances, challenges and the neglected question of sustainability," *Plants*, 2022.

[5] G. W. Anyangoa, G. D. Bhowmicka, and N. S. Bhattacharyab, "A critical review of irrigation water quality index and water quality management practices in micro-irrigation for efficient policy making," *Desalination and Water Treatment*, 2024.

[6] C. Mai, A. Mojiri, S. Palanisami, A. Altaee, Y. Huang, and J. L. Zhou, "Wastewater hydroponics for pollutant removal and food production: Principles, progress and future outlook," *Water*, 2023.

[7] I. Szekely and M. H. Jijakli, "Bioponics as a promising approach to sustainable agriculture: A review of the main methods for producing organic nutrient solution for hydroponics," *Water*, 2022.

[8] A. Tuxun, Y. Xiang, Y. Shao, J. E. Son, M. Yamada, S. Yamada, K. Tagawa, B. Baiyin, and Q. Yang, "Soilless cultivation: Precise nutrient provision and growth environment regulation under different substrates," *Plants*, 2025.

[9] R. S. Velazquez-Gonzalez, A. L. Garcia-Garcia, J. O. Barceinas-Sanchez, E. Ventura-Zapata, and J. C. Sosa-Savedra, "A review on hydroponics and the technologies associated for medium- and small-scale operations," *Agriculture*, 2022.

[10] I. A. Lakhiar, H. Yan, T. N. Syed, C. Zhang, S. A. Shaikh, M. Rakibuz-zaman, and R. B. Vistro, "Soilless agricultural systems: Opportunities, challenges, and applications for enhancing horticultural resilience to climate change and urbanization," *Horticulturae*, 2025.