Nutrient depletion and replenishment in recirculating systems

Grant Kinkead
Independent Researcher, Melbourne, VIC, Australia
grant@kinkead.au

***Abstract*—Recirculating hydroponic systems are often managed through reservoir volume, pH, and electrical conductivity, yet these operational indicators do not fully describe nutrient composition. The central problem is that plants remove water and individual ions at different relative rates, so a solution can drift chemically even when its electrical conductivity remains within an acceptable range. This paper explains why nutrient depletion and replenishment in recirculating systems must be understood as a compositional process rather than a simple change in total dissolved salts. Drawing on recent hydroponic and soilless cultivation literature, with particular emphasis on a lettuce nutrient film technique mass balance study, the paper shows that selective uptake, evapotranspiration, and top up water progressively alter ionic proportions. It also explains why restoring volume or targeting a conductivity set point does not necessarily restore the original balance among nitrate, potassium, calcium, magnesium, and micronutrients. The evidence supports periodic solution analysis, correction, or replacement as scientifically defensible responses to cumulative drift, while also showing that no universal replacement schedule can be justified from the present literature. The paper concludes that stable EC should be treated as a useful operational signal, not as proof of stable nutrient chemistry, and that monitoring strategies must account for crop, growth stage, and source water context.**

I. Introduction

In recirculating hydroponic systems, the nutrient solution is not chemically static once plant uptake begins. A direct lettuce nutrient film technique study showed that recovery and loss differed across nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, calcium, magnesium, iron, and manganese, demonstrating that plants do not remove all dissolved nutrients, or nutrients and water, at the same relative rate [1]. This matters because growers often begin with a carefully formulated solution designed to provide target ionic ratios, yet those initial ratios are exposed immediately to unequal biological demand. The implication is that nutrient depletion in recirculating systems should not be understood as uniform weakening of a solution. Instead, it is a selective process that changes composition, which means replenishment decisions must consider proportion as well as concentration [1], [2].

The same lettuce study also clarifies the mechanism behind compositional drift. As plants selectively assimilated some nutrients more strongly than others, and as water continued to recirculate without full replacement, concentrations diverged rather than falling in parallel. Calcium accumulated, potassium and manganese declined, and ammonium nitrogen disappeared rapidly, showing that recirculation interacts with selective uptake to change ionic proportions over time [1]. The reader-facing consequence is straightforward but often misunderstood: adding water to restore volume can dilute the reservoir, and adding fertiliser to restore a single operational target can increase total salts, yet neither action guarantees recovery of the original nutrient balance. The key technical issue is therefore not only depletion, but differential depletion and selective enrichment within the same recirculating solution [1], [3].

This point becomes especially important when nutrient management relies heavily on electrical conductivity. The lettuce nutrient film technique evidence showed that individual nutrient concentrations diverged markedly even under EC-based management, while biomass outcomes did not reveal the full extent of compositional change [1]. A reservoir can therefore appear stable by one familiar metric while becoming chemically different in ways that matter for nutrition, diagnosis, and later-stage control. This paper addresses that problem directly. It explains why a recirculating nutrient solution drifts away from its starting composition even when EC appears stable, and it develops that argument through background context, mechanism, evidence synthesis, and practical implications grounded in the accepted literature [1], [4].

II. Background and Scope

Existing hydroponic literature provides concrete reasons to treat nutrient depletion and replenishment as a compositional management problem rather than a simple matter of maintaining strength. In the lettuce nutrient film technique mass balance study, nutrient imbalances compounded during recirculation without replacement, uptake efficiencies remained low for several nutrients, nitrogen and phosphorus were oversupplied relative to plant recovery, calcium accumulated, potassium and manganese declined, and constant EC dosing was less effective overall [1]. These findings support periodic analysis and correction because they show that nutrient drift is cumulative and can persist even when routine control actions are applied. The main limitation is that the evidence comes from a specific crop and system, so it should guide interpretation without being treated as a universal quantitative template for all recirculating hydroponic production [1], [5].

Broader soilless cultivation reviews help place that direct study into a larger nutrient management context. One recent review states explicitly that nutrient management often relies on electrical conductivity, while also noting that EC reflects total

dissolved ions rather than concentrations of individual nutrients [6]. That distinction is central to the present topic because it explains why reservoir management can look satisfactory at the level of a gross salt signal while specific ionic ratios move away from their intended values. The review strengthens the argument by citing the lettuce nutrient dynamics work as an example of nutrient imbalance despite EC control [6]. The contribution of this literature is conceptual clarity: it confirms that EC is a convenient operational proxy, but not a chemically complete description of a recirculating nutrient solution [7].

Hydroponics reviews reach a similar conclusion from a monitoring perspective. EC is described as an estimate of total ion concentration that does not specify the concentrations of individual elements [8]. This means that stable EC does not guarantee stable nutrient composition, because different ionic mixtures can generate similar conductivity values. Within the scope of this paper, that limitation defines the central explanatory gap: many management routines track total dissolved salts effectively, but the reader question concerns why the underlying solution still changes chemically. This paper therefore remains tightly focused on selective plant uptake, water loss, top up water, and replenishment effects on recirculating solution composition. It does not extend into reservoir hydraulics or unrelated operational domains, except where cited literature is needed to clarify the chemistry problem itself [8], [9].

III. Core Concepts and Mechanisms

The primary mechanism of chemical drift in closed loop hydroponics is the combination of selective plant uptake and water loss. A recent review of nutrient solution management explains that selective uptake and evapotranspiration alter nutrient composition in recirculating systems, and it further notes that ions such as sodium and chloride may accumulate while top up or renewal strategies become necessary because total EC can rise as ion balance worsens [10]. The crucial point is that the reservoir is shaped by multiple simultaneous flows: plants withdraw water, roots take up nutrients according to physiological demand, and remaining ions stay behind at different rates. When top up water is then added, it restores volume but not necessarily the previous ionic proportions. Replenishment therefore changes chemistry through both subtraction and dilution, not through a single uniform process [10].

A second mechanism concerns what conductivity can and cannot detect. Precision-oriented reviews note that hydroponic EC monitoring does not provide precise information about individual ions, and that direct sensing of nitrate, ammonium, and phosphate remains more challenging than measuring a bulk conductivity signal [11]. This creates an information bottleneck in routine management. If a reservoir loses potassium faster than calcium, or if ammonium disappears rapidly while other ions remain, the conductivity reading may still sit near a familiar target because it aggregates total ionic charge movement rather than reporting element-specific concentrations [11]. The implication is not that EC is useless, but that it is insufficient on its own for diagnosing whether replenishment has restored the original nutrient formulation. Stable EC can coexist with chemically significant drift.

The biological basis for unequal removal is also well supported at the level of nutrient acquisition theory. A recent review of the soil-root nexus explains that different nutrients reach roots through different mechanisms, and that plant uptake processes vary across elements [12]. Although this is not direct evidence from recirculating hydroponic solution, it provides a defensible mechanistic backdrop for why identical depletion rates should not be expected. Nutrient ions differ in mobility, plant demand, transport pathways, and interactions with the root environment [12]. When those differences are expressed inside a closed recirculating system, the result is selective depletion rather than proportional drawdown. The limitation is that the hydroponic reservoir introduces its own chemistry and operating conditions, so general uptake theory must be interpreted through direct recirculation evidence rather than treated as a substitute for it [1], [12].

IV. Evidence Synthesis

Across the accepted evidence base, the strongest direct support comes from the hydroponic lettuce study, while adjacent reviews help explain why its pattern is plausible and broadly relevant. For example, a bioponics review discusses how nutrient form, ammonium dominance, pH shifts, and processing conditions can create imbalanced solution chemistry [13]. That review is not direct evidence about top up water and selective uptake in recirculating mineral hydroponics, but it reinforces the wider principle that solution composition is sensitive to more than total dissolved concentration alone. The shared lesson is that nutrient solutions evolve through interactions among ion form, biological demand, and management response. The limitation is equally important: evidence from organic or bioponic systems cannot simply be transferred without caution to mineral recirculating systems, because the sources of imbalance are not identical [13].

The same bioponics literature also supports a more general interpretive point about monitoring. Solution chemistry can be imbalanced even when gross concentration metrics are available, especially where ammonium balance, pH behaviour, and non nutrient solutes matter [13]. Although the present paper is focused on mineral hydroponics rather than organic nutrient preparation, that finding aligns with hydroponic reviews showing that gross indicators may mask meaningful chemical differences [6], [13]. In synthesis, the evidence suggests that nutrient drift should be understood as a problem of hidden heterogeneity inside an apparently manageable reservoir. A simple bulk reading can summarise total ionic conditions, but it cannot by itself reveal which ions have fallen below target, which have accumulated, or whether the top up strategy is progressively distorting the formulation [11], [13].

A comparable warning appears in irrigation-water literature, where EC is treated as an indicator of overall salt concentration rather than a complete account of chemistry [14]. This is only conceptually related to hydroponic nutrient composition,

yet it is useful because it shows that the limits of EC are not unique to one production context. Similar caution appears in hydroponic reviews stating that plant uptake and evapotranspiration alter salt concentration, and that constant tank volume matters for operational control [8]. When these sources are read together with the direct lettuce evidence, a coherent picture emerges: recirculating solutions drift because biological removal is selective, water loss changes concentration pressure, and management variables that summarise total salts cannot resolve composition precisely [1], [8], [14]. The directness of support still varies, so the strongest claims should remain tied to hydroponic recirculation studies rather than broader water-quality analogies.

V. Practical Implications and Limitations

The most defensible practical implication is that periodic solution analysis, correction, or replacement can be scientifically justified, but not according to a universal schedule. Irrigation-water reviews argue that periodic water analysis is necessary because chemistry, solids, and biofilms change over time, and because no single index is sufficient for all quality decisions [14]. In recirculating hydroponics, the direct nutrient-solution evidence points in the same direction, even though the irrigation source is not crop nutrition evidence specifically [1], [14]. The implication is methodological rather than prescriptive: when selective uptake and top up water continuously reshape solution composition, periodic checking of actual nutrient status becomes reasonable. The limitation is that available literature does not justify one fixed interval for every crop, source water, climate condition, or system design [14].

A second implication concerns the interpretation of volume management and evaporation control. Hydroponics reviews note that plant uptake and evapotranspiration change salt concentration, and that maintaining constant tank volume is operationally important [8]. However, restoring volume does not undo selective ion removal. If water is lost faster than some nutrients are taken up, top up water may reduce apparent concentration while leaving the relative shortage of particular ions unresolved. Conversely, if certain ions accumulate because uptake is weak, volume correction can preserve a misleading sense of normality in the reservoir [1], [8]. This means that replenishment strategies based only on water replacement or bulk EC targets should be interpreted as partial controls. They stabilise some system variables, but they do not necessarily reconstitute the starting formulation.

A third implication is that nutrient management must remain context dependent. Soilless farming reviews state that formulations must match crop species and growth stage, and that EC and pH require control throughout production [15]. That context matters because the significance of nutrient drift depends on what the crop is demanding at a given stage, how source water contributes background ions, and how the chosen replenishment strategy responds to deviations [15]. The literature therefore supports monitoring and adjustment, but it also warns against overclaiming. Present evidence does not establish a universal correction formula, nor does it prove that one replenishment strategy will outperform all others across systems [10], [15]. The current state of knowledge is strong enough to reject the idea that stable EC guarantees stable composition, yet still limited enough to require crop-specific validation when designing analysis and replacement routines.

VI. Conclusion

The evidence reviewed here supports a clear answer to the central question. A recirculating nutrient solution drifts away from its starting composition because plants remove water and individual ions at different relative rates, while recirculation, evapotranspiration, top up water, and replenishment actions reshape the remaining ionic mixture [1], [10]. The strongest direct evidence shows that nutrient concentrations can diverge substantially even when EC-based management is used, so stable EC should not be interpreted as proof of stable nutrient composition [1], [6], [8], [11]. In practical terms, restoring volume or conductivity alone may maintain operational continuity without restoring the original nutrient proportions.

The most defensible management conclusion is therefore not a universal replacement schedule, but a monitoring principle. Periodic solution analysis, correction, or replacement is justified because composition changes during recirculation, yet the exact timing must depend on crop, growth stage, source water, and system conditions rather than a one size fits all rule [1], [14], [15]. The highest-value next work is more direct comparative evidence across crops and operating conditions that links ion-specific drift to decision thresholds for analysis and replenishment. Until such evidence is stronger, the safest scientific position is that EC is a useful operational indicator, but an incomplete chemical diagnosis of recirculating nutrient solutions [8], [11].

Acknowledgment

The author used artificial intelligence tools to assist with manuscript drafting and revision, and with LaTeX and bibliography preparation. The author reviewed all AI-assisted output and remains responsible for the final content.

References

[1] K. Vought, H. K. Bayabil, J. Pompeo, D. Crawford, Y. Zhang, M. Correll, and A. Martin-Ryals, "Dynamics of micro and macronutrients in a hydroponic nutrient film technique system under lettuce cultivation," *Heliyon*, 2024.

[2] J. C. Majsztrik, R. T. Fernandez, P. R. Fisher, D. R. Hitchcock, J. Lea-Cox, J. S. Owen, Jr., L. R. Oki, and S. A. White, "Water use and treatment in container-grown specialty crop production: A review," *Water, Air, & Soil Pollution*, 2017.

[3] C. E. Boyd, C. S. Tucker, and B. Somridhivej, "Alkalinity and hardness: Critical but elusive concepts in aquaculture," *Journal of the World Aquaculture Society*, 2016.

[4] C. D. Mihaela Constantin and M. Oprea, "Thermodynamic analysis of oxygenation methods for stationary water: Mathematical modeling and experimental investigation," *Thermo*, 2025.

[5] C. Mai, A. Mojiri, S. Palanisami, A. Altaee, Y. Huang, and J. L. Zhou, "Wastewater hydroponics for pollutant removal and food production: Principles, progress and future outlook," *Water*, 2023.

[6] A. Tuxun, Y. Xiang, Y. Shao, J. E. Son, M. Yamada, S. Yamada, K. Tagawa, B. Baiyin, and Q. Yang, "Soilless cultivation: Precise nutrient provision and growth environment regulation under different substrates," *Plants*, 2025.

[7] O. V. Obayomi, D. C. Olawoyin, O. Oguntimehin, L. S. Mustapha, S. O. Kolade, P. O. Oladoye, S. Oh, and K. S. Obayomi, "Exploring emerging water treatment technologies for the removal of microbial pathogens," *Current Research in Biotechnology*, 2024.

[8] R. S. Velazquez-Gonzalez, A. L. Garcia-Garcia, J. O. Barceinas-Sanchez, E. Ventura-Zapata, and J. C. Sosa-Savedra, "A review on hydroponics and the technologies associated for medium- and small-scale operations," *Agriculture*, 2022.

[9] A. Fussy and J. Papenbrock, "An overview of soil and soilless cultivation techniques-chances, challenges and the neglected question of sustainability," *Plants*, 2022.

[10] E. Fathidarehnijeh, M. Nadeem, M. Cheema, R. Thomas, M. Krishnapillai, and L. Galagedara, "Current perspective on nutrient solution management strategies to improve the nutrient and water use efficiency in hydroponic systems," *Can. J. Plant Sci*, 2024.

[11] M. Elakrouch, M. Mohaddab, A. Rachid, and M. H. Jijakli, "Soilless agriculture at a crossroads: Strengths, challenges, and prospects of hydroponics, aquaponics, and bioponics in relation to precision farming," *Sustainability*, 2026.

[12] N. Joshi, R. Kaur, and T. Nawaz, "The soil-root nexus: how soil health shapes plant nutrient uptake and food nutritional security," *Plant and Soil*, 2026.

[13] I. Szekely and M. H. Jijakli, "Bioponics as a promising approach to sustainable agriculture: A review of the main methods for producing organic nutrient solution for hydroponics," *Water*, 2022.

[14] G. W. Anyangoa, G. D. Bhowmicka, and N. S. Bhattacharyab, "A critical review of irrigation water quality index and water quality management practices in micro-irrigation for efficient policy making," *Desalination and Water Treatment*, 2024.

[15] I. A. Lakhiar, H. Yan, T. N. Syed, C. Zhang, S. A. Shaikh, M. Rakibuzzaman, and R. B. Vistro, "Soilless agricultural systems: Opportunities, challenges, and applications for enhancing horticultural resilience to climate change and urbanization," *Horticulturae*, 2025.