Acid and alkaline adjustment chemistry

Grant Kinkead
Independent Researcher, Melbourne, VIC, Australia
grant@kinkead.au

***Abstract*—Hydroponic pH adjustment is often treated as a routine operational task, yet the chemistry behind a pH correction is more complex than adding a small volume of acid or alkali until a target meter reading is reached. In buffered aqueous systems, pH reflects acid-base equilibria involving dissolved carbon dioxide, bicarbonate, carbonate, hydroxide, and other reactive species, while nutrient ions, ionic strength, and precipitation reactions modify how the solution responds to intervention. This paper explains the chemistry and safety logic of deliberate pH adjustment in hydroponic nutrient solutions, with emphasis on neutralisation, concentration effects, and staged correction. The evidence shows that the effect of a dose depends not only on the starting pH, but also on solution volume, alkalinity or buffering capacity, and the identity and concentration of the reagent used. It also shows that pH management cannot be reduced to electrical conductivity alone, because nutrient composition can shift during recirculation even when conductivity appears acceptable. Finally, the paper synthesises broad laboratory safety evidence to show why concentrated acids and alkalis require cautious dilution, compatible handling, and incremental addition. The central implication is that hydroponic pH adjustment is best understood as controlled acid-base neutralisation within a chemically dynamic nutrient solution, rather than as a universal recipe.**

I. Introduction

Deliberate pH adjustment in hydroponics is a chemical intervention into a buffered aqueous system, not merely a numerical correction on a meter. In water-based nutrient solutions, pH is linked to equilibria among hydrogen ions, hydroxide, dissolved carbon dioxide, bicarbonate, and carbonate, so any added acid or alkali alters both the immediate proton balance and the broader buffering system that resists change. This matters because hydroponic solutions are expected to keep nutrients soluble and available while avoiding conditions that favour unwanted precipitation or instability. A scientifically useful account of pH adjustment therefore has to explain neutralisation chemistry together with buffering behaviour, rather than reducing the process to simple up or down control [1].

A second reason the topic requires careful treatment is that equal reagent volumes do not produce equal pH shifts across different systems. Alkalinity represents the pool of titratable bases that can consume added acid, and bicarbonate and carbonate are especially important because they moderate pH response through their equilibria with dissolved carbon dioxide. As a result, two solutions with similar measured pH can respond very differently to the same addition if their buffering capacity differs. The chemically important variable is therefore not pH alone, but the interaction among starting pH, alkalinity, reagent strength, and the total volume being corrected. This is the key reason why staged correction is more scientifically defensible than assuming that one dose has a predictable universal effect [1].

The topic also has a safety dimension that follows directly from the chemistry. Concentrated acids and bases are corrosive, their dilution can release substantial heat, and incompatibilities can create splashing, fuming, or secondary hazards if reagents are mixed carelessly. Broad chemical safety guidance therefore distinguishes between the intrinsic hazard of a concentrated reagent and the risk created by specific handling conditions. In practical terms, this means pH adjustment should be framed as controlled, diluted, and incremental addition, supported by appropriate personal protection, compatible containers, and emergency preparedness, rather than as casual pouring of strong chemicals into a reservoir. The need for safe staged correction is thus part of the chemistry of pH adjustment itself, not a separate operational afterthought [2].

II. Background and Scope

Existing hydroponic literature makes clear that pH matters because it shapes nutrient behaviour, yet the reasons are chemically layered. In soilless systems, nutrient availability is influenced by pH together with ionic strength, redox conditions, complexation, and precipitation reactions involving carbonate and phosphate species. Changes in nitrogen form can also modify root-zone chemistry and shift how nutrients remain available in solution. This body of work establishes that pH is not an isolated control variable, because a pH adjustment can alter the surrounding chemical environment in ways that affect nutrient solubility and uptake. However, much of the literature emphasises outcomes such as availability or precipitation more than it explains the underlying logic of deliberate acid or alkali addition itself [3].

A more focused line of evidence comes from work on bicarbonate control in irrigation for hydroponic systems. That literature directly connects acid addition with neutralisation of bicarbonate, showing that pH adjustment frequently involves consumption of HCO3- rather than a simple free hydrogen ion effect alone. It also links incomplete control of bicarbonate chemistry to unwanted calcium carbonate precipitation, which demonstrates that the goal of acid addition is not merely to move the pH number downward, but to reshape the carbonate balance to a more suitable residual alkalinity. This evidence is especially important because it anchors hydroponic pH control in recognisable acid-base reactions within a buffered solution [4].

The present paper is therefore limited to an evidence-based explanation of what chemically happens when acid or alkali is added to a hydroponic nutrient solution. It does not prescribe universal products, target recipes, or dosing formulae, because the supplied evidence supports a mechanistic and safety-oriented explanation rather than a generic manual. Within that scope, the paper argues that alkalinity or buffering capacity can matter more than water pH alone when predicting response, and that scientifically sound correction depends on reagent concentration, solution volume, and progressive adjustment. This scope is narrow by design, but it is sufficient to answer the reader's central question with chemical precision [4].

III. Core Concepts and Mechanisms

At the mechanistic level, adding acid or alkali changes the proton balance of the nutrient solution, but the final response depends on what else in the system can react. Evidence from soilless chemistry shows that pH is tied to nutrient solubility, lime source, substrate composition, nitrification processes, ammonium to nitrate balance, and precipitation reactions. These interacting factors matter because a pH shift can alter whether nutrients remain dissolved or move into less available forms. For example, the same nominal correction may differ in consequence when calcium, phosphate, magnesium, or other ions are poised near precipitation thresholds. The chemistry of adjustment is therefore not only about achieving a target pH, but about changing the equilibrium environment in which nutrients exist [5].

This broader chemical picture also explains why electrical conductivity cannot stand in for a full account of pH adjustment. In recirculating hydroponic systems, nutrient composition can change over time even when conductivity remains within an acceptable operating range, because ions are taken up selectively and solution chemistry evolves during circulation. A pH correction imposed without attention to those changing chemical conditions may therefore interact with a solution whose composition no longer matches a simple fresh-mix assumption. The implication is that acid or alkali addition occurs in a moving chemical target, which reinforces the need to understand what species are likely to neutralise, precipitate, or remain available after correction [6].

The same evidence further shows why pH readings should not be interpreted as complete descriptors of nutrient status. Electrical conductivity may indicate total ionic strength at a broad level, but it does not reveal which ions dominate the solution, which nutrient fractions have been depleted, or how much buffering remains. Consequently, a similar pH value can mask different chemical states, and a similar conductivity value can coexist with different correction requirements. This is especially relevant when considering equal reagent volumes, because a fixed addition interacts with both total reservoir volume and a chemically distinct background matrix. Staged correction is therefore justified not only by safety, but also by the incompleteness of simplified monitoring proxies [6].

IV. Evidence Synthesis

When the evidence is synthesised across water chemistry and hydroponic sources, a consistent picture emerges: pH adjustment is best interpreted through carbonate system behaviour and alkalinity, not through pH alone. Reviews of irrigation-water quality identify bicarbonate and carbonate chemistry, pH-related scaling, and related indices as central to understanding how water responds to treatment. Although this literature is not written specifically as a hydroponic nutrient-solution guide, it supports the crucial background point that chemical treatment outcomes depend on dissolved species capable of neutralising added acid or contributing to scale formation. In that sense, hydroponic pH correction sits within a broader aqueous chemistry framework in which pH, carbonate equilibria, and mineral stability are tightly linked [7].

This synthesis also clarifies why equal pH changes can be chemically misleading if interpreted without regard to buffering. Water-quality literature on bicarbonate and carbonate indices shows that treatment response is conditioned by the solution's reserve of reactive bases, while conceptual work on pH interpretation shows that identical pH increments can correspond to different absolute changes in hydrogen-ion conditions depending on the starting state [7], [8]. In hydroponic terms, this means that adding the same volume of reagent to two reservoirs does not imply the same neutralisation outcome, because one reservoir may spend much of the addition consuming bicarbonate while another may show a sharper pH movement once its smaller buffer is overcome. Buffering capacity is therefore an explanatory variable, not a minor detail [1], [4].

Hydroponic review literature complements this by showing the practical consequences of pH excursions without always unpacking the full mechanism. Broad reviews consistently note that nutrient solubility and precipitation problems emerge outside suitable pH ranges, and that maintaining reservoir conditions matters for stable crop performance [9]. These observations align with the mechanistic evidence from hydroponic solution chemistry and bicarbonate control, but they also reveal a gap in common practice guidance: practitioners are often told that pH matters, yet not always why the same correction behaves differently across solutions. The present synthesis fills that explanatory gap by linking practical hydroponic observations to alkalinity-driven acid-base chemistry [3], [4].

V. Practical Implications and Limitations

Several practical implications follow from this chemistry, but they should be stated cautiously. First, the effect of any pH-adjusting dose depends on the total solution volume as well as the buffering environment. A fixed reagent addition is distributed through a particular mass of water and dissolved salts, so its immediate concentration after mixing will differ between small and large reservoirs, and its net effect will depend on how much bicarbonate, carbonate, or other reactive species are present to consume it. Hydroponic practice literature correctly emphasises maintaining appropriate pH and reservoir volume,

yet the stronger synthesis here is that volume control matters chemically because dilution and buffering jointly determine how forcefully a correction acts [1], [4], [9].

Second, the evidence supports staged correction as both a chemical and safety principle. Because concentrated acids and alkalis are corrosive and can release heat on dilution, broad laboratory guidance supports adding them carefully to water, using compatible equipment and avoiding direct mixing of incompatible concentrated reagents [2]. Hydroponic reviews also warn that some chemical interventions can leave harmful residues or injure roots when applied without regard to system chemistry [9], [10]. Incremental addition followed by mixing and remeasurement is therefore scientifically preferable to a single aggressive correction, because it reduces overshoot risk, accommodates unknown buffering behaviour, and limits the hazard associated with handling strong reagents at high local concentration. What the evidence does not support is a universal dosing recipe transferable across all hydroponic systems.

Third, the evidence base has clear limits that should restrain interpretation. Water-treatment reviews discuss alkalinity-related scaling and carbonate chemistry, but many are not specific to complete hydroponic nutrient solutions, while some hydroponic reviews provide operating ranges or control concepts without fully resolving underlying buffering mechanisms [11], [12]. The paper can therefore explain the safety logic of concentrated reagent handling and the chemistry of neutralisation in buffered systems, but it cannot justify blanket claims about one preferred adjusting product, one ideal residual alkalinity for all crops, or one standard correction sequence for every reservoir. The strongest supported conclusion is narrower and more useful: pH adjustment should be treated as a context-dependent neutralisation problem whose outcome must be verified in the actual solution being managed [5], [11], [12].

VI. Conclusion

Acid and alkaline adjustment in hydroponics is most accurately understood as controlled acid-base neutralisation within a buffered nutrient solution. The supplied evidence shows that added reagents do not act on pH in isolation, because their effect is mediated by bicarbonate and carbonate equilibria, alkalinity, total solution volume, nutrient composition, and precipitation-sensitive ion balances [1], [3], [4], [5]. This is why equal reagent volumes can produce different pH changes, and why conductivity or a single pH reading cannot fully predict correction behaviour [6], [8]. The same evidence also supports a restrained safety conclusion: concentrated acids and alkalis require cautious dilution, compatible handling, and staged addition because the chemistry itself creates thermal and corrosive hazards [2]. The most defensible practical message is therefore not a universal recipe, but a chemical principle: effective hydroponic pH adjustment depends on understanding buffering, concentration, and neutralisation before the correction is made.

Acknowledgment

The author used artificial intelligence tools to assist with manuscript drafting and revision, and with LaTeX and bibliography preparation. The author reviewed all AI-assisted output and remains responsible for the final content.

References

[1] C. E. Boyd, C. S. Tucker, and B. Somridhivej, "Alkalinity and hardness: Critical but elusive concepts in aquaculture," *Journal of the World Aquaculture Society*, 2016.

[2] A. C. S. J. B.-C. C. on Chemical Safety, "Safety in academic chemistry laboratories: Best practices for first- and second-year university students, 8th edition," *American Chemical Society*, 2017.

[3] P. Sambo, C. Nicoletto, A. Giro, Y. Pii, F. Valentinuzzi, T. Mimmo, P. Lugli, G. Orzes, F. Mazzetto, S. Astolfi, R. Terzano, and S. Cesco, "Hydroponic solutions for soilless production systems: Issues and opportunities in a smart agriculture perspective," *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 2019. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.00923

[4] C. Cambra, S. Sendra, J. Lloret, and R. Lacuesta, "Smart system for bicarbonate control in irrigation for hydroponic precision farming," *Sensors*, 2018.

[5] J. Huang, P. R. Fisher, W. R. Argo, K. Y. Jeong, and J. Altland, "Macronutrient solubility in response to the ph of soilless container substrates," *Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, 2025.

[6] K. Vought, H. K. Bayabil, J. Pompeo, D. Crawford, Y. Zhang, M. Correll, and A. Martin-Ryals, "Dynamics of micro and macronutrients in a hydroponic nutrient film technique system under lettuce cultivation," *Heliyon*, 2024.

[7] G. W. Anyangoa, G. D. Bhowmicka, and N. S. Bhattacharyab, "A critical review of irrigation water quality index and water quality management practices in micro-irrigation for efficient policy making," *Desalination and Water Treatment*, 2024.

[8] A. J. Fassbender, J. C. Orr, and A. G. Dickson, "Technical note: Interpreting ph changes," *Biogeosciences*, 2021. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-18-1407-2021

[9] R. S. Velazquez-Gonzalez, A. L. Garcia-Garcia, J. O. Barceinas-Sanchez, E. Ventura-Zapata, and J. C. Sosa-Savedra, "A review on hydroponics and the technologies associated for medium- and small-scale operations," *Agriculture*, 2022.

[10] A. Fussy and J. Papenbrock, "An overview of soil and soilless cultivation techniques-chances, challenges and the neglected question of sustainability," *Plants*, 2022.

[11] J. C. Majsztrik, R. T. Fernandez, P. R. Fisher, D. R. Hitchcock, J. Lea-Cox, J. S. Owen, Jr., L. R. Oki, and S. A. White, "Water use and treatment in container-grown specialty crop production: A review," *Water, Air, & Soil Pollution*, 2017.

[12] M. Elakrouch, M. Mohaddab, A. Rachid, and M. H. Jijakli, "Soilless agriculture at a crossroads: Strengths, challenges, and prospects of hydroponics, aquaponics, and bioponics in relation to precision farming," *Sustainability*, 2026.