Root-zone temperature and oxygen interaction

Grant Kinkead
Independent Researcher, Melbourne, VIC, Australia
grant@kinkead.au

***Abstract*—Root-zone temperature and dissolved oxygen are often monitored as separate hydroponic variables, yet the available evidence indicates that they must be interpreted as interacting parts of a single oxygen-balance problem. Temperature changes the supply side by altering oxygen solubility in water, while at the same time influencing biological demand through root respiration, rhizosphere activity, and disease pressure. This paper synthesises validated evidence to explain why oxygen risk cannot be judged from a dissolved oxygen number alone. The review shows that higher root-zone temperature can reduce achievable dissolved oxygen concentrations while increasing the oxygen demand imposed by roots and other biological processes, thereby narrowing the margin of safety for root function. It also shows that nominal dissolved oxygen guidance cannot be transferred reliably across crops, production cycles, and system designs because root architecture, hydraulic conditions, and stress sensitivity differ. Accordingly, oxygen concentration should be interpreted relative to system context, not as a universal threshold. The practical implication is that root-zone management should focus on balancing oxygen supply against total biological demand under the prevailing thermal conditions, while recognising that present evidence does not support one universal dissolved oxygen or root-zone temperature recommendation across hydroponic crops and systems.**

I. Introduction

Root-zone temperature and dissolved oxygen are sometimes treated as separate operating numbers, but the evidence base indicates that this separation obscures the main physiological and engineering risk. In hydroponic root zones, temperature affects oxygen availability from two directions at once: warmer solution holds less dissolved oxygen, and warmer conditions can increase biological oxygen demand through root respiration and associated disease pressure. The strawberry NFT review in the supplied evidence makes this interaction explicit, showing that elevated root-zone temperature can simultaneously tighten oxygen supply and raise demand, thereby increasing the likelihood of oxygen limitation even before an operator reaches an obviously low dissolved oxygen reading [1]. The immediate implication is that oxygen status is better understood as a balance condition than as a single concentration target.

A second problem is that the same dissolved oxygen concentration does not mean the same thing in every crop or hydroponic design. The validated evidence shows that nominal guidance drawn from tomato or cucumber cannot simply be transferred to strawberry because root architecture, oxygen sensitivity, production cycle length, hydraulic design, and pathogen context differ materially across systems [1]. This matters because a number that appears acceptable in one root environment may represent a very different margin of safety in another. The central argument of this paper is therefore that root-zone temperature and oxygen must be managed as interacting variables within a root-zone oxygen-balance framework, where oxygen supply is interpreted relative to total biological demand and to the specific system in which that demand arises.

A broader hydroponic lesson supports this caution against isolated setpoints. In recirculating NFT lettuce, constant EC management did not produce a static root environment; nutrient balances changed over time and uptake efficiencies remained low, demonstrating that even tightly managed soilless systems evolve with crop stage and operating conditions [2]. That finding is not itself a dissolved oxygen study, but it reinforces the present paper's scope: universal prescriptions are unreliable when the biological system is dynamic. The paper therefore examines how temperature, dissolved oxygen, root respiration, and microbial demand interact, and why this interaction explains the limits of universal dissolved oxygen and root-zone temperature recommendations.

II. Background and Scope

Existing literature does provide useful pieces of the problem, but not all sources contribute equally to a hydroponic oxygen-balance interpretation. The most directly relevant evidence states that dissolved oxygen thresholds reported for tomato and cucumber are inadequate proxies for strawberry, and that no strawberry-specific dissolved oxygen threshold has yet been established for the long-cycle NFT context under review [1]. That is an important boundary condition for this paper. It means the objective is not to prescribe a universal crop setpoint, but to explain why such a setpoint is difficult to justify when crop morphology, hydraulic residence, thermal exposure, and pathogen pressure differ across systems. The explanatory gap is therefore not whether oxygen matters, but how oxygen should be interpreted under variable thermal and biological conditions.

The supply side of that gap is clarified by thermodynamic evidence showing that higher water temperature lowers oxygen solubility and reduces the dissolved oxygen concentrations that can be achieved at saturation [3]. This contribution is important because it grounds the temperature effect in physical chemistry rather than in crop-specific preference alone. Even when biological demand is held outside the main focus of the model, the achievable oxygen content of water changes with temperature. For hydroponic interpretation, that means a warmer root zone can begin from a lower supply ceiling before plant and microbial demand are considered. A dissolved

oxygen reading is therefore not self-explanatory unless the accompanying temperature is known.

The same thermodynamic evidence also shows why identical dissolved oxygen numbers cannot be assumed to represent equivalent aeration states across temperatures or systems [3]. A concentration that lies close to saturation at one temperature may indicate a different oxygen transfer margin at another, and this undermines simple numeric comparisons between systems. Within the scope of this review, that insight is combined with crop-specific hydroponic evidence to argue that root-zone oxygen management should be contextual and relational. The paper does not report original experiments, and it does not attempt to derive new universal thresholds. Instead, it synthesises accepted evidence to explain the interacting temperature effects on dissolved oxygen supply and biological demand, and to define the limits of cross-crop generalisation.

III. Core Concepts and Mechanisms

The most useful starting concept is that hydroponic readings become meaningful only when tied to plant and system context. Review evidence reports nominal operating guidance such as dissolved oxygen above 5 mg/L, alongside pH and EC ranges, but also emphasises that performance varies with crop, system, energy regime, and sensing context [4]. This does not invalidate measurement targets; rather, it shows that a target by itself is incomplete. In the present topic, dissolved oxygen should be interpreted as one component of a root-zone oxygen balance. A nominal concentration may be satisfactory when demand is moderate and transfer is effective, yet inadequate when temperature, hydraulic design, or biological loading raise demand or reduce replenishment. Thus, management logic must move from isolated thresholds toward conditional interpretation.

A second mechanism concerns what creates biological oxygen demand in the root zone. Evidence from the broader soil-root literature shows that root function depends on a nexus of temperature, moisture conditions, physical environment, and rhizosphere biology, all of which shape respiration context [5]. Although this source is not specific to hydroponic dissolved oxygen management, it supports a crucial conceptual step: roots do not consume oxygen in isolation from their surrounding biophysical environment. In hydroponics, the analogue is that solution temperature and rhizosphere biological activity influence how rapidly available oxygen is used. This makes total biological demand a composite of root metabolism and associated rhizosphere processes, not merely a property of dissolved oxygen concentration itself.

The physiological consequence of oxygen shortfall explains why this balance matters. General plant evidence shows that low oxygen impairs mitochondrial ATP production and triggers stress responses that depend on oxygen availability, metabolic state, tissue type, and recovery conditions [6]. For the hydroponic root zone, this means that an apparently modest mismatch between supply and demand can propagate into impaired energy metabolism at the root surface. The implication is not that every brief decline in dissolved oxygen causes identical injury, but that oxygen limitation should be assessed in relation to the metabolic demand of the root system at that temperature. This physiological framing aligns with the more direct hydroponic evidence that higher root-zone temperature can both reduce supply and intensify the demand side of the balance [1], [7].

IV. Evidence Synthesis

When the evidence is synthesised across scales, a consistent picture emerges: oxygen concentration has explanatory value only when interpreted against demand. The broad plant literature shows that oxygen effects depend on tissue demand, metabolic state, and stress context [6]. The hydroponic strawberry review then makes this conceptual point operational by showing that root-zone dissolved oxygen guidance cannot be detached from root architecture, production duration, hydraulic design, and pathogen pressure [1]. Together, these sources support the reader-facing conclusion that root-zone oxygen risk is fundamentally relational. A measured concentration describes available oxygen in solution, but not whether that supply is adequate for the instantaneous and accumulated demands of roots and rhizosphere organisms under prevailing thermal conditions.

The evidence also supports clear limits on universal recommendations. Low-oxygen responses differ among species, tissues, developmental stages, and recovery contexts in the plant literature [6], while the hydroponic literature shows that soilless systems themselves differ in structure, control intensity, and environmental exposure [8]. The result is that one crop's acceptable operating window cannot be promoted confidently as another crop's requirement. This is especially important for reader questions about whether dissolved oxygen can be treated as a universal number. The accepted evidence says no: even before considering management skill or instrumentation, crop-specific sensitivity and system-specific transport conditions make universal dissolved oxygen or root-zone temperature recommendations scientifically weak.

System context is further reinforced by evidence that nutrient solution variables and system type must be matched to crop needs, even in technically controlled soilless cultivation [9]. That review, together with the NFT lettuce evidence showing changing nutrient balances under constant EC management [2], indicates that hydroponic environments are dynamic rather than static. For oxygen interpretation, this means that biological demand can shift across crop stage and operating state, while supply capacity can shift with temperature and hydraulics. A practical reading of dissolved oxygen therefore requires more than checking whether a number exceeds a nominal guideline. It requires asking what crop is present, how the root system is configured, what the temperature implies for oxygen solubility, and how much total biological demand the system is likely carrying at that time [1], [9].

V. Practical Implications and Limitations

For practical management, the evidence suggests that dissolved oxygen should be handled much like other hydroponic

variables that require contextual interpretation. Reviews of hydroponic monitoring note that pH and EC readings are meaningful only relative to crop needs and production objectives, not as isolated numbers [10]. The same logic applies more strongly to oxygen because temperature changes the physical supply ceiling while root-zone biology changes the rate of oxygen use. Nominal guidance such as dissolved oxygen above 5 mg/L can still be operationally useful as a rough reference point, and prototype systems have monitored dissolved oxygen and temperature together within that general range [4], [11]. However, the evidence base supplied here does not justify treating that figure as a universal threshold across all crops and system architectures.

A second implication is that operators should think in terms of matching system design and environmental control to crop-specific oxygen demand. Evidence from soilless agriculture reviews shows that system suitability depends on crop type, design, and environmental control capacity [8]. In the present context, that means oxygen management cannot be divorced from hydraulic design, thermal exposure, and rhizosphere loading. A root zone with longer hydraulic pathways, greater heat accumulation, or stronger pathogen pressure may require a different interpretation of the same dissolved oxygen reading than a cooler or less biologically loaded system [1]. The management lesson is not a new setpoint, but a change in diagnostic logic: evaluate whether thermal conditions are compressing oxygen supply at the same time as they elevate demand.

The main limitation of the evidence is that not all sources address the full interaction directly. The thermodynamic study clearly supports the supply side by showing lower oxygen solubility at higher temperature [3], and aquaculture water chemistry literature illustrates how oxygen-related measurements can be misleading when interpreted without broader system chemistry and biology [12]. Yet these sources do not by themselves quantify hydroponic root and microbial demand. Likewise, prototype hydroponic control platforms report monitoring ranges for dissolved oxygen and temperature, but they mainly illustrate system-specific practice rather than universal biological requirements [11]. The strongest integrated evidence in the package comes from the strawberry NFT review and related hydroponic reviews [1], [7]. Therefore, the present conclusion is robust as a framework, but limited in its ability to prescribe transferable numeric targets for all crops and systems.

VI. Conclusion

The validated evidence supports a clear answer to the central question: root-zone temperature and oxygen must be managed as interacting variables because temperature affects both sides of the root-zone oxygen balance. It lowers dissolved oxygen supply by reducing oxygen solubility in water, and it can increase total biological demand through root respiration and associated rhizosphere pressures [1], [3], [7]. For that reason, dissolved oxygen concentration should not be interpreted as an isolated compliance number. Its meaning depends on crop identity, root architecture, system hydraulics, thermal conditions, and the broader biological context of the root zone [6], [8], [9].

The same evidence also explains why universal dissolved oxygen and root-zone temperature recommendations remain limited. Nominal hydroponic targets may be useful as local operating references, but they do not carry identical physiological meaning across crops or systems [4], [11]. The highest-value next work, consistent with the evidence reviewed here, is comparative crop and system research that links temperature, oxygen supply capacity, root respiratory demand, and microbial loading within specific hydroponic designs [1]. Until that evidence matures, the most defensible management approach is to treat oxygen risk as a temperature-dependent balance between supply and total biological demand, not as a pair of separate numbers.

Acknowledgment

The author used artificial intelligence tools to assist with manuscript drafting and revision, and with LaTeX and bibliography preparation. The author reviewed all AI-assisted output and remains responsible for the final content.

References

[1] S. Scott, "Dissolved oxygen limitation and pythium root rot in strawberry nft systems: mechanisms, research gaps, and prospects for substrate-free production," *Scott S and Villouta C (*, 2026.

[2] K. Vought, H. K. Bayabil, J. Pompeo, D. Crawford, Y. Zhang, M. Correll, and A. Martin-Ryals, "Dynamics of micro and macronutrients in a hydroponic nutrient film technique system under lettuce cultivation," *Heliyon*, 2024.

[3] C. D. Mihaela Constantin and M. Oprea, "Thermodynamic analysis of oxygenation methods for stationary water: Mathematical modeling and experimental investigation," *Thermo*, 2025.

[4] M. Elakrouch, M. Mohaddab, A. Rachid, and M. H. Jijakli, "Soilless agriculture at a crossroads: Strengths, challenges, and prospects of hydroponics, aquaponics, and bioponics in relation to precision farming," *Sustainability*, 2026.

[5] N. Joshi, R. Kaur, and T. Nawaz, "The soil-root nexus: how soil health shapes plant nutrient uptake and food nutritional security," *Plant and Soil*, 2026.

[6] J. Jethva, R. R. Schmidt, M. Sauter, and J. Selinski, "Try or die: Dynamics of plant respiration and how to survive low oxygen conditions," *Plants*, 2022.

[7] A. Fussy and J. Papenbrock, "An overview of soil and soilless cultivation techniques-chances, challenges and the neglected question of sustainability," *Plants*, 2022.

[8] I. A. Lakhiar, H. Yan, T. N. Syed, C. Zhang, S. A. Shaikh, M. Rakibuzzaman, and R. B. Vistro, "Soilless agricultural systems: Opportunities, challenges, and applications for enhancing horticultural resilience to climate change and urbanization," *Horticulturae*, 2025.

[9] A. Tuxun, Y. Xiang, Y. Shao, J. E. Son, M. Yamada, S. Yamada, K. Tagawa, B. Baiyin, and Q. Yang, "Soilless cultivation: Precise nutrient provision and growth environment regulation under different substrates," *Plants*, 2025.

[10] R. S. Velazquez-Gonzalez, A. L. Garcia-Garcia, J. O. Barceinas-Sanchez, E. Ventura-Zapata, and J. C. Sosa-Savedra, "A review on hydroponics and the technologies associated for medium- and small-scale operations," *Agriculture*, 2022.

[11] J. Arshad, F. Azeem, A. Butt, M. Chaudhary, R. S. Safdar, M. K. Joyo, I. Ahmad, P. Valsalan, and H. M. Ahmed, "Ai-enabled iot-based hydroponic farming with embedded automation and nutrient prediction," *Future Internet*, 2026.

[12] C. E. Boyd, C. S. Tucker, and B. Somridhivej, "Alkalinity and hardness: Critical but elusive concepts in aquaculture," *Journal of the World Aquaculture Society*, 2016.