Passive hydroponics and the Kratky method

Grant Kinkead
Independent Researcher, Melbourne, VIC, Australia
grant@kinkead.au

***Abstract*—Passive hydroponics can provide water, nutrients and oxygen to plant roots without pumps or continuous circulation when the root environment changes in a controlled manner over time. This paper explains the Kratky method as a non-circulating approach in which a pre-supplied nutrient solution declines through plant uptake, forming a humid air space above the remaining liquid. Roots can consequently occupy both solution and aerial zones, allowing water and nutrient acquisition to coexist with aeration. [1], [2], [3] The synthesis distinguishes this mechanism from other passive and actively managed hydroponic systems, then considers its operational limits. Although eliminating recirculation reduces reliance on pumping equipment, it does not eliminate constraints associated with nutrient-solution condition, oxygen availability, root health and monitoring. [4], [5], [6] The available evidence supports the central mechanism of solution-level decline and root-zone differentiation, but does not support universal crop-specific prescriptions. Passive hydroponics is therefore best understood as a dynamic root-zone arrangement whose reliability depends on maintaining a suitable relationship between solution contact, aerial exposure and changing solution quality.**

I. Introduction

Hydroponic systems are commonly associated with active nutrient delivery, yet non-circulating passive hydroponics demonstrates that continuous flow is not essential to every root function. In the Kratky method, nutrient solution is supplied before planting or transplanting and remains within the container without pump-driven recirculation. As plants take up water and nutrients, the root system expands while the liquid level falls. [3] The system is therefore based on plant-driven change rather than continuous mechanical replacement of the root environment. Its significance lies in showing that nutrient supply and root aeration can be organised through container conditions and crop uptake, although this does not remove the need to consider root-zone limitations [7].

The central mechanism is the creation of a changing nutrient-solution level. As the solution declines, an enlarging moist air gap forms between the plant support and the liquid surface. Some roots remain in nutrient solution and retain direct access to water and dissolved nutrients, while other roots extend into humid air and become exposed to an aerated zone. [3] This separation answers the practical question of how a passive system can supply roots without pumps: different portions of the root system can perform complementary functions. The method depends on this changing relationship, rather than on a static condition in which every root remains continuously submerged [8].

II. Background and Scope

Soilless cultivation includes multiple approaches to root support and nutrient delivery, each involving distinct operating requirements. Reviews identify setup demands, energy use, technical expertise, disease pressure, monitoring and system control as recurring constraints across these systems. [5] Passive hydroponics reduces reliance on equipment used for circulation, but it does not remove the biological and chemical processes that shape root-zone conditions. The appropriate comparison is therefore not between managed and unmanaged cultivation. Rather, the Kratky method changes the means by which the root environment develops, substituting declining solution level and root growth for continuous hydraulic movement.

Broader assessments of hydroponic and related soilless systems identify cost, complexity, nutrient management, pathogens and monitoring as persistent operational concerns. [6] These concerns define the limits of a passive interpretation of the Kratky method. A system may be mechanically simple while still being sensitive to nutrient-solution changes and root health. The scope of this paper is consequently explanatory rather than prescriptive. It considers how the non-circulating mechanism establishes solution and aerial root zones, while recognising that the evidence does not establish a single set of design conditions suitable for all crops or environments.

Oxygen availability provides the physiological context for distinguishing submerged from aerial root regions. Restricted gas diffusion through water can reduce oxygen availability, and transitions between low and higher oxygen conditions can require plant responses. [1] The relevance to passive hydroponics is not that aerial exposure automatically prevents all oxygen-related stress. Instead, the moist air space offers a different root exposure condition from the remaining solution. This distinction clarifies why declining solution level is integral to the Kratky method and why a loss of balance between liquid contact and aerial exposure would be an important operating concern.

III. Core Concepts and Mechanisms

Water status and aeration are interdependent features of a root environment. Evidence on plant water and aeration conditions indicates that roots require an appropriate relationship between water availability and gaseous exchange. [2] In the Kratky method, that relationship is created spatially as well as temporally. Roots contacting the solution provide a pathway for water and nutrient uptake, while roots occupying the humid air space are no longer fully immersed. The implication

is that passive hydroponics does not rely on oxygen being delivered through circulating water. Instead, the falling liquid level enables an aerated root region to emerge as demand for solution changes.

General descriptions of hydroponics explain the importance of nutrient delivery and root-zone management, but they do not by themselves establish the Kratky principle. [4] The distinctive feature of this method is that all nutrient solution is supplied initially and plant uptake progressively changes the liquid volume. Early establishment can involve moisture transfer through the growing medium, after which expanding roots continue direct uptake from the solution. [3] The declining level is thus a functional part of the design logic. It permits a root system to extend across different exposure conditions, but the available evidence does not justify treating that logic as a universal prescription for solution volume, crop duration or container geometry.

Changing root-zone oxygen conditions are biologically relevant in hydroponic cultivation. Work concerning nutrient film systems identifies oxygen depletion, temperature and pathogen-related concerns, although those findings arise from a hydraulically different system. [9] The comparison should therefore be used cautiously. It supports attention to oxygen and root health, but it does not demonstrate that a non-circulating Kratky system behaves identically to a flowing nutrient film. For passive hydroponics, the key mechanism remains the formation of distinct solution and air root zones, with performance contingent on the continuing suitability of both zones.

IV. Evidence Synthesis

The direct account of the Kratky method provides the clearest evidence for non-circulating passive operation. Nutrient solution is supplied before cultivation, plant uptake lowers the solution level and an enlarging moist air space develops as roots expand. [3] This sequence supports the interpretation that water and nutrient access can coexist with aerial root exposure without active pumping. It also shows why the system should be considered dynamic rather than static. The available evidence supports the physical and biological rationale for the approach, but does not establish that every passive container configuration will maintain the required root-zone relationship under all operating conditions.

Other soilless-system literature provides useful context because it distinguishes systems according to nutrient delivery and root management. [5] This broader evidence confirms that hydroponic design concerns are not limited to whether water moves through a system. Nutrient management, root-zone conditions and system operation remain important across different arrangements. In the Kratky method, the absence of circulation is offset by the planned decline of nutrient solution and the formation of a moist air gap. [3] The mechanism is therefore more specific than the general category of passive cultivation and should not be inferred merely from the absence of a pump.

Passive wick systems offer a useful contrast. They may supply water without recirculation, but their principal constraints include water supply and root-zone oxygen conditions rather than the creation of separate zones through declining solution level. [10] The Kratky method differs because the root system is intended to span the remaining nutrient solution and the humid air space above it. This comparison prevents an overly broad use of the term passive hydroponics. Shared absence of active circulation does not imply a shared oxygen-supply mechanism, and the distinct role of solution-level decline must remain central to the explanation.

V. Practical Implications and Limitations

Removing pumps does not eliminate nutrient-solution management. Evidence from container production identifies water-management, runoff, pathogen and treatment concerns, indicating that contained water systems remain dependent on the condition and handling of their water supply. [11] In a non-circulating arrangement, the initial nutrient solution has particular importance because the method relies on progressive uptake rather than continuous replacement. The evidence does not support crop-specific prescriptions for starting volumes or replenishment schedules. It does support the conclusion that passive operation shifts attention from circulation equipment to the adequacy and changing condition of the solution initially provided.

Nutrient chemistry is a further limitation of treating passive systems as maintenance-free. Hydroponic monitoring research identifies pH, electrical conductivity, dissolved oxygen, power and sensor constraints in actively controlled systems, while work on bicarbonate management shows that nutrient-solution chemistry can require attention. [12], [13] Research on pH and nutrient solubility further indicates that changes in pH can alter nutrient availability. [14] These sources do not require automated monitoring for passive systems, nor do they prescribe a control regime. They do demonstrate that eliminating circulation does not prevent chemical change within the remaining nutrient solution.

The wider literature identifies cost, energy, complexity, pathogen pressure and nutrient management as continuing issues in soilless cultivation. [6] Passive hydroponics may avoid the pump-related energy and hardware demands associated with recirculation, but it should not be represented as resolving every wider operating constraint. Evidence from wastewater hydroponics additionally identifies salinity, pathogen and complex-control limitations in a specialised context. [15] Although that context is not directly equivalent to the Kratky method, it reinforces the need for caution when generalising about water sources or solution quality. Validation should focus on whether the intended root-zone separation persists as plant demand and solution conditions change.

VI. Conclusion

The Kratky method demonstrates how passive non-circulating hydroponics can supply water, nutrients and oxygen

without pumps or continuous circulation. A pre-supplied nutrient solution declines through plant uptake, creating a humid air space while part of the root system remains in solution. This changing configuration enables complementary solution and aerial root zones, linking nutrient access with aeration. [2], [3] Its limitations are equally important: root oxygen conditions, nutrient-solution chemistry and plant health remain relevant despite the absence of recirculation. [1], [4], [6] The most valuable further work is to validate how consistently the solution and aerial root zones are maintained as solution level and condition change during growth.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author used artificial intelligence tools to assist with manuscript drafting and revision, and with LaTeX and bibliography preparation. The author reviewed all AI-assisted output and remains responsible for the final content.

REFERENCES

[1] J. Jethva, R. R. Schmidt, M. Sauter, and J. Selinski, "Try or die: Dynamics of plant respiration and how to survive low oxygen conditions," *Plants*, 2022.

[2] B. Pragg, M. M. T. Lakshani, T. K. K. C. Deepagoda, K. Cameron, H. Di, T. J. Clough, S. Carrick, B. Elberling, and K. Smits, "Identification of plant soil water and soil aeration corequisites: A management tool," *Soil Science Soc of Amer J*, 2024.

[3] B. A. Kratky, "Three non-circulating hydroponic methods for growing lettuce," *Acta Horticulturae*, 2009.

[4] R. S. Velazquez-Gonzalez, A. L. Garcia-Garcia, J. O. Barceinas-Sanchez, E. Ventura-Zapata, and J. C. Sosa-Savedra, "A review on hydroponics and the technologies associated for medium- and small-scale operations," *Agriculture*, 2022.

[5] I. A. Lakhiar, H. Yan, T. N. Syed, C. Zhang, S. A. Shaikh, M. Rakibuzzaman, and R. B. Vistro, "Soilless agricultural systems: Opportunities, challenges, and applications for enhancing horticultural resilience to climate change and urbanization," *Horticulturae*, 2025.

[6] M. Elakrouch, M. Mohaddab, A. Rachid, and M. H. Jijakli, "Soilless agriculture at a crossroads: Strengths, challenges, and prospects of hydroponics, aquaponics, and bioponics in relation to precision farming," *Sustainability*, 2026.

[7] S. Wang, Y. Kleiner, S. M. Clark, V. Raghavan, and B. Tartakovsky, "Review of current hydroponic food production practices and the potential role of bioelectrochemical systems," *Reviews in Environmental Science and Bio/Technology*, 2024.

[8] A. Fussy and J. Papenbrock, "An overview of soil and soilless cultivation techniques-chances, challenges and the neglected question of sustainability," *Plants*, 2022.

[9] S. Scott and C. Villouta, "Dissolved oxygen limitation and pythium root rot in strawberry nft systems: Mechanisms, research gaps, and prospects for substrate-free production," *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 2026.

[10] S. Rajendran, T. Domalachenpa, H. Arora, P. Li, A. Sharma, and G. Rajauria, "Hydroponics: Exploring innovative sustainable technologies and applications across crop production, with emphasis on potato mini-tuber cultivation," *Heliyon*, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e26823

[11] J. C. Majsztrik, R. T. Fernandez, P. R. Fisher, D. R. Hitchcock, J. Lea-Cox, J. S. Owen, Jr., L. R. Oki, and S. A. White, "Water use and treatment in container-grown specialty crop production: A review," *Water, Air, & Soil Pollution*, 2017.

[12] J. Arshad, F. Azeem, A. Butt, M. Chaudhary, R. S. Safdar, M. K. Joyo, I. Ahmad, P. Valsalan, and H. M. Ahmed, "Ai-enabled iot-based hydroponic farming with embedded automation and nutrient prediction," *Future Internet*, 2026.

[13] C. Cambra, S. Sendra, J. Lloret, and R. Lacuesta, "Smart system for bicarbonate control in irrigation for hydroponic precision farming," *Sensors*, 2018.

[14] J. Huang, P. R. Fisher, W. R. Argo, K. Y. Jeong, and J. Altland, "Macronutrient solubility in response to the ph of soilless container substrates," *Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, 2025.

[15] C. Mai, A. Mojiri, S. Palanisami, A. Altaee, Y. Huang, and J. L. Zhou, "Wastewater hydroponics for pollutant removal and food production: Principles, progress and future outlook," *Water*, 2023.