Deep water culture systems

Grant Kinkead
Independent Researcher, Melbourne, VIC, Australia
grant@kinkead.au

***Abstract*—Deep water culture (DWC) is a hydroponic approach in which plants are supported above a nutrient reservoir and their roots remain substantially immersed in the nutrient solution. This root-zone configuration differentiates DWC from systems that expose roots to a thin nutrient film, periodically flood them, or retain them primarily in a substrate. Because the solution continuously surrounds the roots, its condition becomes a central operating characteristic. In particular, oxygen provision is necessary to support root respiration and to avoid the low-oxygen conditions associated with submerged root environments. This paper synthesises available evidence on the configuration, mechanisms, and system boundaries of DWC. It distinguishes basic aerated-reservoir arrangements from recirculating variants without treating circulation as the defining feature of the system. The analysis finds that DWC is best understood through the direct relationship between immersed roots, nutrient solution condition, and oxygen delivery. It also identifies a limitation in the evidence base: direct comparisons of hydraulic arrangements within DWC remain limited. Accordingly, clear descriptions of root immersion, aeration, and solution management are needed when evaluating DWC systems.**

I. Introduction

Deep water culture is defined by a direct and sustained relationship between plant roots and a nutrient reservoir. Plants are held above the solution while roots extend into, and remain substantially immersed within, the water volume. This contrasts with hydroponic approaches in which roots are supplied through a flowing film, periodic flooding, or a moist solid medium. A review of hydroponic systems identifies DWC as an arrangement in which supported shoots are separated from roots immersed in nutrient solution [1]. This configuration matters because the reservoir is not merely a means of delivering fertiliser. It is the root-zone environment itself, so the physical and chemical condition of the solution directly affects the roots throughout operation [2].

Root immersion also creates an oxygen-management requirement. Plant respiration depends on oxygen availability for mitochondrial energy generation, and oxygen limitation can reduce ATP production and impose cellular stress [3], [4]. In DWC, the roots are surrounded by water rather than an air-filled pore system, making adequate dissolved oxygen an operating condition rather than an occasional amendment. Hydroponic literature explicitly links immersed DWC roots with aeration and oxygen management [1]. This paper examines why that requirement follows from the DWC configuration, how the system differs from related hydroponic categories, and why recirculation should be treated as a secondary descriptor rather than a replacement for the defining immersed-root condition [5].

II. Background and Scope

DWC belongs to the broader category of water culture, where roots are suspended into or submerged in nutrient solution. This category is useful because it separates water-based root environments from substrate-based cultivation, but it remains too broad to describe DWC precisely. Within DWC, plants are supported at the surface or above the reservoir while roots occupy the nutrient volume below. Reviews of soilless systems describe DWC roots as suspended or submerged in water culture arrangements [6]. The relevant system boundary is therefore the root-zone configuration, not the presence of a pump, a container shape, or a particular crop. This focus avoids conflating DWC with all systems that use nutrient solution.

Oxygen provision follows from the properties of the submerged root environment. Broad reviews of soilless cultivation connect submerged roots with the need for aeration and root oxygen maintenance [6], [7]. The physiological basis is that reduced oxygen availability constrains respiration, which is required for cellular energy supply [3], [4]. In DWC, aeration therefore has a functional role beyond visible water movement or nutrient mixing. It supports a solution condition compatible with root metabolic activity. The evidence establishes this general relationship, but it does not support a universal dissolved-oxygen threshold, aeration rate, or reservoir temperature for all crops and operating contexts.

Water culture must also be distinguished from other hydroponic system types. DWC, nutrient film technique, ebb-and-flow systems, aeroponics, and substrate-based arrangements differ in how roots are exposed to water, air, and supporting media [6], [8]. In DWC, roots remain in a relatively large nutrient volume. In nutrient film technique, roots contact a shallow moving film, while ebb-and-flow systems wet and drain the root zone cyclically. These distinctions are consequential because each arrangement creates different root-zone conditions. The present synthesis is limited to explaining DWC as an immersed-root system and does not make unsupported claims about universal performance advantages over alternative hydroponic methods.

III. Core Concepts and Mechanisms

The distinction between basic DWC and a recirculating variant begins with separating root-zone structure from solution movement. Hydroponic reviews classify systems as open or closed and identify DWC, nutrient film technique, and ebb-and-flow as distinct forms of cultivation [9]. A basic DWC system may use an aerated nutrient reservoir that directly supports the roots of its plants. A recirculating DWC variant

retains the same immersed-root arrangement but moves nutrient solution between connected reservoirs or other system components. Recirculation can alter how solution is distributed or reused, but it does not determine whether the root zone is DWC. The defining feature remains sustained root immersion in a nutrient reservoir.

Related terms can describe nutrient sources or management arrangements without defining DWC itself. Hydroponics, aquaponics, bioponics, and closed-loop systems are differentiated according to broader cultivation and nutrient-management characteristics [10]. Bioponic systems may also distinguish between in-situ and ex-situ biological processing, with adequate dissolved oxygen relevant to nitrifying organisms in applicable water-based systems [11]. These categories can be relevant when they modify the nutrient solution, but they do not remove the need to specify how roots are positioned. For DWC, the first analytical question remains whether roots are substantially immersed. Nutrient origin, biological processing, and recirculation should be treated as additional system attributes only after that root-zone condition is clear.

Aeration is the principal means by which DWC addresses the oxygen constraint of immersion. Evidence on open DWC and raft systems indicates that aeration is required to prevent root oxygen deficiency [12]. The mechanism is consistent with broader plant evidence: insufficient oxygen restricts respiratory energy production, whereas maintained oxygen availability supports metabolic function [3], [4]. Consequently, DWC operation depends on managing the nutrient volume as a combined source of mineral nutrients and root-zone oxygen. This does not establish that every DWC system requires identical equipment or operational settings. Instead, it establishes the more fundamental point that an immersed-root reservoir must maintain conditions that support oxygen supply.

IV. Evidence Synthesis

Practical descriptions of DWC hardware illustrate the relationship between plant support, root immersion, and aeration. One documented hydroponic arrangement employs an opaque reservoir, net pots, roots hanging into solution, and aeration [13]. Together, these features establish a root zone in which the aerial portion of the plant is physically supported while roots interact directly with the nutrient reservoir. The opacity of the reservoir and the use of net pots are implementation details, whereas direct root exposure to solution is the defining DWC characteristic. Aeration completes the basic operating logic by addressing oxygen conditions in the same water volume that provides nutrient access. This distinction helps identify DWC across varied physical designs without reducing the definition to a fixed equipment list.

The evidence also supports a mechanism-based understanding of aeration. Aeration is reported to maintain dissolved oxygen and to reduce stagnant-water conditions associated with anaerobic environments [13]. That role is consistent with evidence that oxygen limitation disrupts respiration and reduces the cellular energy available to plants [3]. In DWC, the significance of aeration is therefore not limited to hydraulic circulation. It is a response to the fact that roots remain within the solution. The available sources do not show that oxygen alone explains all DWC outcomes, nor do they identify one universally superior aeration method. They do, however, establish oxygen delivery as integral to maintaining the root-zone condition required by the system configuration.

An example involving hydroponic lettuce grown in individual aerated DWC buckets demonstrates the basic system form at plant scale [14]. The study provides evidence that separate aerated reservoirs can serve as direct root environments for plants, although its main focus is lighting rather than comparison of DWC designs. It should therefore not be interpreted as proof that DWC produces superior yield relative to other hydroponic systems. Its value lies in clarifying the operational arrangement: each plant or group of plants can be associated with an aerated nutrient volume in which roots remain immersed. This reinforces the distinction between the underlying DWC configuration and the separate experimental variables that may be investigated within it.

V. Practical Implications and Limitations

The central practical implication of DWC is that nutrient solution condition must be managed as a root-zone condition. Reviews relate submerged roots, aeration, dissolved oxygen, temperature, and root respiration within water-based cultivation systems [8]. Because roots remain inside the nutrient volume, changes in that volume are experienced directly by the root system. Oxygen management is particularly important because plant respiration depends on oxygen availability [4]. Temperature is also relevant to the solution environment, but the supplied evidence does not establish a single appropriate temperature range or dissolved-oxygen set point for all DWC uses. Sound interpretation therefore requires attention to interacting root-zone conditions rather than reliance on a universal operational prescription.

The distinction between basic and recirculating DWC should remain conceptually clear but technically modest. DWC, nutrient film technique, ebb-and-flow, substrate-based cultivation, and open or closed arrangements are recognised as separate system classes [8], [9]. A basic DWC system is characterised by roots immersed in an aerated reservoir. A recirculating DWC system retains that characteristic while adding movement of solution through a connected pathway. This distinction avoids two errors: assuming that all recirculating hydroponics is DWC, and assuming that a non-recirculating reservoir lacks active management. The evidence supports a classification-based explanation, but it does not provide sufficient direct comparison to rank DWC circulation arrangements by hydraulic efficiency or crop performance.

Evidence from related irrigation and recirculating systems should be applied cautiously. Capillary irrigation research discusses wick, mat, and ebb-and-flow variants, but does not focus on DWC recirculation [15]. Likewise, nutrient film technique research can inform discussion of nutrient dynamics in recirculating hydroponics, yet it is not direct evidence about DWC configuration or circulation effects [16], [17]. These

boundaries identify an important evidence gap. Comparative studies of basic and recirculating DWC should report the degree of root immersion, aeration approach, solution condition, and crop context consistently. Such reporting would allow circulation-related effects to be distinguished from the fundamental requirement for an oxygenated nutrient reservoir.

VI. Conclusion

Deep water culture is distinguished from other hydroponic systems by its immersed-root configuration: plants are supported above a nutrient reservoir while their roots remain substantially within the solution. This arrangement makes solution condition central to operation because the reservoir simultaneously provides nutrient access and the immediate root environment. Oxygen provision is essential because submerged roots require aerated conditions compatible with respiration [1], [6], [12]. Basic DWC and recirculating variants share this defining root-zone condition; recirculation describes solution movement and reuse rather than the system's primary identity [8], [9].

The current evidence supports a clear operational emphasis on maintaining an adequately aerated nutrient volume, but it does not justify universal set points or detailed claims about comparative hydraulic performance. The highest-value next work is direct comparison of basic and recirculating DWC systems using consistent reporting of root immersion, aeration, nutrient solution condition, and crop context [15], [16], [17].

Acknowledgment

The author used artificial intelligence tools to assist with manuscript drafting and revision, and with LaTeX and bibliography preparation. The author reviewed all AI-assisted output and remains responsible for the final content.

References

[1] R. S. Velazquez-Gonzalez, A. L. Garcia-Garcia, J. O. Barceinas-Sanchez, E. Ventura-Zapata, and J. C. Sosa-Savedra, "A review on hydroponics and the technologies associated for medium- and small-scale operations," *Agriculture*, 2022.

[2] G. Barrett, P. Alexander, J. Robinson, and N. Bragg, "Achieving environmentally sustainable growing media for soilless plant cultivation systems - a review," *Scientia Horticulturae*, 2016.

[3] J. Jethva, R. R. Schmidt, M. Sauter, and J. Selinski, "Try or die: Dynamics of plant respiration and how to survive low oxygen conditions," *Plants*, 2022.

[4] A. M. Vera-Vives, P. Novel, K. Zheng, S. ling Tan, and M. Schwarzländer, "Mitochondrial respiration is essential for photosynthesis-dependent atp supply of the plant cytosol," *New Phytologist*, 2024.

[5] S. Wang, Y. Kleiner, S. M. Clark, V. Raghavan, and B. Tartakovsky, "Review of current hydroponic food production practices and the potential role of bioelectrochemical systems," *Reviews in Environmental Science and Bio/Technology*, 2024.

[6] I. A. Lakhiar, H. Yan, T. N. Syed, C. Zhang, S. A. Shaikh, M. Rakibuzzaman, and R. B. Vistro, "Soilless agricultural systems: Opportunities, challenges, and applications for enhancing horticultural resilience to climate change and urbanization," *Horticulturae*, 2025.

[7] A. Tuxun, Y. Xiang, Y. Shao, J. E. Son, M. Yamada, S. Yamada, K. Tagawa, B. Baiyin, and Q. Yang, "Soilless cultivation: Precise nutrient provision and growth environment regulation under different substrates," *Plants*, 2025.

[8] A. Fussy and J. Papenbrock, "An overview of soil and soilless cultivation techniques-chances, challenges and the neglected question of sustainability," *Plants*, 2022.

[9] E. Fathidarehnijeh, M. Nadeem, M. Cheema, R. Thomas, M. Krishnapillai, and L. Galagedara, "Current perspective on nutrient solution management strategies to improve the nutrient and water use efficiency in hydroponic systems," *Can. J. Plant Sci*, 2024.

[10] M. Elakrouch, M. Mohaddab, A. Rachid, and M. H. Jijakli, "Soilless agriculture at a crossroads: Strengths, challenges, and prospects of hydroponics, aquaponics, and bioponics in relation to precision farming," *Sustainability*, 2026.

[11] I. Szekely and M. H. Jijakli, "Bioponics as a promising approach to sustainable agriculture: A review of the main methods for producing organic nutrient solution for hydroponics," *Water*, 2022.

[12] C. Mai, A. Mojiri, S. Palanisami, A. Altaee, Y. Huang, and J. L. Zhou, "Wastewater hydroponics for pollutant removal and food production: Principles, progress and future outlook," *Water*, 2023.

[13] J. Arshad, F. Azeem, A. Butt, M. Chaudhary, R. S. Safdar, M. K. Joyo, I. Ahmad, P. Valsalan, and H. M. Ahmed, "Ai-enabled iot-based hydroponic farming with embedded automation and nutrient prediction," *Future Internet*, 2026.

[14] P. L. Palsha, M. W. van Iersel, R. W. Dickson, L. Seymour, M. Yelton, K. Qin, and R. S. Ferrarezi, "Strategic light use efficiency optimization of hydroponic lettuce exposed to different photosynthetic photon flux densities," *Agronomy*, 2024.

[15] N. P. K. Semananda, J. D. Ward, and B. R. Myers, "A semi-systematic review of capillary irrigation: The benefits, limitations, and opportunities," *Horticulturae*, 2018.

[16] K. Vought, H. K. Bayabil, J. Pompeo, D. Crawford, Y. Zhang, M. Correll, and A. Martin-Ryals, "Dynamics of micro and macronutrients in a hydroponic nutrient film technique system under lettuce cultivation," *Heliyon*, 2024.

[17] S. Scott and C. Villouta, "Dissolved oxygen limitation and pythium root rot in strawberry nft systems: Mechanisms, research gaps, and prospects for substrate-free production," *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 2026.