Whole-system water movement and dynamic behaviour

Grant Kinkead
Independent Researcher, Melbourne, VIC, Australia
grant@kinkead.au

***Abstract*—Hydroponic water delivery is a connected physical loop in which reservoir storage, a pump or passive drive, distribution, root-zone delivery and return flow jointly determine crop conditions. This paper synthesises evidence on how changes in resistance, reservoir condition, pump performance, return flow and root-zone blockage can propagate through the loop. The evidence shows that recirculation moves both water and changing solution states, including nutrient balance, pH, electrical conductivity, dissolved oxygen and biological contaminants. Dynamic behaviour is produced by storage, transport, root interaction and repeated circulation, rather than by automated control. A whole-system framework is developed for tracing disturbances from their origin through delivery and return. The paper also distinguishes physical feedback from the later use of sensing and automated response. Its central implication is that dependable interpretation requires identification of a disturbance's location, route and timing across the complete water-delivery loop rather than inspection of a single component alone.**

I. Introduction

Hydroponic water movement should be treated as a connected process rather than as the separate operation of a reservoir, pump or root zone. Capillary, wick, ebb-and-flow and subirrigation systems each link a water source, a passive or pressure-driven delivery route, root-zone contact and, where applicable, the return of excess solution to storage [1]. Although the mechanisms differ, these arrangements demonstrate that delivery conditions arise from the relationship between supply, transport and drainage. A whole-system model must therefore include reservoir storage, a drive mechanism, distribution, root-zone delivery and return flow. This framing is essential because an effect observed at one location can have an upstream cause or can influence later conditions elsewhere in the path [2].

A recirculating nutrient-film loop demonstrates that solution condition changes as water moves through the system. Measurements have identified calcium accumulation, potassium and manganese depletion, ammonium disappearance, nutrient losses and the limitations of electrical-conductivity-based replenishment over time [3]. These changes show that the reservoir is not simply a fixed source. It is also the receiving point for the cumulative outcomes of uptake, losses and return flow. Consequently, an apparently stable bulk measurement may not fully represent the composition that has circulated through the root zone or the condition that will be delivered during later cycles [4].

Dynamic behaviour also arises from the interaction of water movement with plant demand and solution management. Evidence on recirculating nutrient systems links ion accumulation, salinity, pH and electrical conductivity changes with renewal intervals, transpiration, substrate conditions and crop response [5]. These relationships are physical feedback processes: the state created by prior circulation influences subsequent delivery and root-zone conditions. This paper develops a whole-system explanation of those processes, examines how disturbances propagate and lag, and establishes the physical basis necessary before measurement is used to support automated feedback [6].

II. Background and Scope

Soilless systems are increasingly equipped with sensors, connected monitoring, automated actuators and real-time adjustment of water, nutrient, climate and root-zone conditions [7]. Such technologies can respond to observed states, but a measured value does not automatically reveal the origin of the condition it represents. A dissolved-oxygen, pH or electrical-conductivity reading is shaped by where it is taken, when it is taken and how the water has moved before reaching that point. The present scope is therefore the physical system that precedes automation: the movement path that produces conditions suitable for measurement and later decision-making.

Recirculating solution can also be altered by biological and chemical processes that develop during circulation. Bioponic evidence links mineralisation, nitrification, ammonium, pH, dissolved oxygen, residual organics, nutrient deficiency and incomplete processing to changes in solution state and crop performance [8]. These processes are not identical to those in mineral hydroponics, yet they clarify the importance of interpreting a loop as an interacting system. Water returning to storage may carry a changed physical, chemical or biological state, and later delivery may redistribute that state to roots. The implication is that system boundaries must include return and storage, not only the forward distribution path.

Hydroponic architecture provides the basis for that boundary. Reservoirs, pumps, regulated delivery to roots, drainage, recirculation and nutrient-solution recovery occur across several system designs [9]. Existing accounts often describe these elements and their management separately, while physical feedback lag is addressed less explicitly. The explanatory gap is therefore not a lack of identifiable components, but a need to interpret their behaviour as a linked sequence. The framework used here compares system states along that sequence without

assuming that all hydroponic arrangements exhibit the same hydraulic response.

III. Core Concepts and Mechanisms

The whole-system model begins with reservoir storage, where solution volume and condition enter the circulation path. A pump or passive drive supplies the force for movement, distribution components convey solution to roots, root-zone contact modifies local conditions, and drainage or channels return solution to storage. Hydroponic reviews link nutrient-solution recycling with changes in salt concentration, pH, electrical conductivity, pathogen transmission, sterilisation limits and crop response [9]. Each component is consequently both a functional element and a possible source of system-wide change. A restriction in distribution, for example, matters because it changes the relationship between drive, delivery, root-zone conditions and return.

Nutrient-film systems provide direct evidence of this coupling. Their operation connects a nutrient tank and pump with channel slope, flow, root-zone distribution, oxygen conditions, recirculation and shared return pathways [10]. Flow delivered at a root-zone location is consequently influenced by more than pump performance. Channel geometry, gradient and downstream resistance affect movement, while the return path influences how solution is restored to the reservoir. This means that a change in delivery uniformity may be associated with altered hydraulic conditions at several locations, not necessarily a single defective component. Diagnosis must therefore follow the complete movement path.

Root-zone processes make the system dynamic because they can change the conditions through which solution passes. In recirculating nutrient-film systems, root-mat growth, hydraulic resistance, oxygen depletion, temperature, pathogen reservoirs and channel gradients can interact across the crop cycle [10]. A root-zone blockage may first affect local delivery, then alter return conditions and later influence other locations through the shared solution. The evidence supports interacting and time-dependent behaviour but does not establish universal lag times. Lag should therefore be interpreted as a system-specific consequence of storage volume, transport route, root development and return behaviour.

IV. Evidence Synthesis

A whole-system approach is applicable across water-culture and substrate-based systems despite differences in their hydraulic arrangements. Reviews identify pumps, reservoirs, nutrient delivery, root-zone processes, drainage, recirculation and closed-loop return management as recurring elements [7]. Their relative influence varies with the design, but the same analytical sequence remains useful: identify the stored solution, establish the driving force, trace distribution, inspect root-zone delivery and follow return flow. This sequence connects observed component behaviour to the full circulation loop and prevents the interpretation of a local measurement as an independent system state.

Evidence on recirculating nutrient management shows that propagation can occur as a changing solution state as well as a changing flow state. Salinity and ion accumulation, pH and electrical-conductivity changes, nutrient imbalance, solution renewal, crop-stage effects and fertigation all influence the condition of recirculated solution [5]. These processes can interact with transpiration and substrate moisture, creating delayed effects between a change in management or uptake and its later expression in the reservoir or root zone. The system is therefore dynamic even without automated control, because the output of earlier circulation becomes the input to later delivery.

Sensors and controllers offer a later operational layer, rather than a substitute for physical interpretation. Closed-loop soilless systems can measure electrical conductivity, pH, temperature and dissolved oxygen, then actuate pumps, valves, ventilation or dosing [11]. Such systems can respond efficiently only when a measured condition is correctly related to the moving water path and its possible delays. A low dissolved-oxygen reading, for example, may indicate a condition associated with root-zone, temperature, circulation or reservoir factors rather than a self-contained fault. Measurement must first support explanation before it can support reliable automated feedback.

V. Practical Implications and Limitations

Physical feedback should be investigated by tracing a disturbance through the loop. A changed reservoir level, reduced pump condition, increased resistance, altered return flow or root-zone blockage can affect later delivery because all elements share water movement and, in recirculating systems, a common solution state. Hydroponic response delays have also been associated with hydraulic retention, aeration, pH, plant choice, wastewater composition and pollutant-removal kinetics [12]. Although this evidence concerns a specialised hydroponic context, it reinforces the general principle that transport and response can be delayed and that timing must be considered when interpreting conditions across the loop.

The available literature also requires caution. Some evidence describes broad system categories or specialised applications, and it cannot establish a universal hydraulic model for every hydroponic configuration. Dynamic nutrient-solution regulation, buffering, moisture-aeration interactions and microbial responses are system-dependent, while direct treatment of lag independently of control remains limited [13]. Validation should therefore be architecture-specific. It should identify measurement locations, reservoir and return conditions, distribution features, root-zone state and the time required for a disturbance to become observable elsewhere. This is necessary to distinguish genuine propagation from a coincidental local change.

The transition from physical behaviour to automated feedback depends on this contextual interpretation. Hydroponic platforms can combine physical-variable sensing, edge inference, digital representations of system state, actuator control and closed-loop correction of pH, electrical conductivity, temperature and water level [14]. These capabilities demonstrate

how automation can follow physical understanding, but they do not remove uncertainty caused by transport, mixing or root-zone interaction. Before a controller acts on a threshold, the relevant measurement must be related to the full movement path. Otherwise, a technically correct reading may still produce an incomplete explanation of the system condition.

VI. Conclusion

Whole-system water movement in hydroponics is governed by the connected behaviour of reservoir storage, drive mechanism, distribution resistance, root-zone delivery and return circulation. Evidence from capillary, nutrient-film, bioponic and broader soilless systems shows that a disturbance can propagate as altered water movement, solution composition, oxygen-related conditions or biological state [1], [3], [8], [10]. Reliable interpretation therefore requires tracing the complete movement path, including how prior circulation shapes subsequent delivery.

The evidence does not justify fixed lag values or one universal diagnostic indicator for all hydroponic systems. The highest-value next work is architecture-specific validation of reservoir, distribution, root-zone and return states over time. This physical understanding provides the foundation for measurement, threshold interpretation and automated feedback, while keeping automated control distinct from the dynamic behaviour that it is intended to observe [5], [11], [15].

Acknowledgment

The author used artificial intelligence tools to assist with manuscript drafting and revision, and with LaTeX and bibliography preparation. The author reviewed all AI-assisted output and remains responsible for the final content.

References

[1] N. P. K. Semananda, J. D. Ward, and B. R. Myers, "A semi-systematic review of capillary irrigation: The benefits, limitations, and opportunities," *Horticulturae*, 2018.

[2] S. Wang, Y. Kleiner, S. M. Clark, V. Raghavan, and B. Tartakovsky, "Review of current hydroponic food production practices and the potential role of bioelectrochemical systems," *Reviews in Environmental Science and Bio/Technology*, 2024.

[3] K. Vought, H. K. Bayabil, J. Pompeo, D. Crawford, Y. Zhang, M. Correll, and A. Martin-Ryals, "Dynamics of micro and macronutrients in a hydroponic nutrient film technique system under lettuce cultivation," *Heliyon*, 2024.

[4] C. Vatistas, D. D. Avgoustaki, and T. Bartzanas, "A systematic literature review on controlled-environment agriculture: How vertical farms and greenhouses can influence the sustainability and footprint of urban microclimate with local food production," *Atmosphere*, 2022.

[5] E. Fathidarehnijeh, M. Nadeem, M. Cheema, R. Thomas, M. Krishnapillai, and L. Galagedara, "Current perspective on nutrient solution management strategies to improve the nutrient and water use efficiency in hydroponic systems," *Can. J. Plant Sci*, 2024.

[6] A. Fussy and J. Papenbrock, "An overview of soil and soilless cultivation techniques-chances, challenges and the neglected question of sustainability," *Plants*, 2022.

[7] I. A. Lakhiar, H. Yan, T. N. Syed, C. Zhang, S. A. Shaikh, M. Rakibuzzaman, and R. B. Vistro, "Soilless agricultural systems: Opportunities, challenges, and applications for enhancing horticultural resilience to climate change and urbanization," *Horticulturae*, 2025.

[8] I. Szekely and M. H. Jijakli, "Bioponics as a promising approach to sustainable agriculture: A review of the main methods for producing organic nutrient solution for hydroponics," *Water*, 2022.

[9] R. S. Velazquez-Gonzalez, A. L. Garcia-Garcia, J. O. Barceinas-Sanchez, E. Ventura-Zapata, and J. C. Sosa-Savedra, "A review on hydroponics and the technologies associated for medium- and small-scale operations," *Agriculture*, 2022.

[10] S. Scott and C. Villouta, "Dissolved oxygen limitation and pythium root rot in strawberry nft systems: Mechanisms, research gaps, and prospects for substrate-free production," *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 2026.

[11] M. Elakrouch, M. Mohaddab, A. Rachid, and M. H. Jijakli, "Soilless agriculture at a crossroads: Strengths, challenges, and prospects of hydroponics, aquaponics, and bioponics in relation to precision farming," *Sustainability*, 2026.

[12] C. Mai, A. Mojiri, S. Palanisami, A. Altaee, Y. Huang, and J. L. Zhou, "Wastewater hydroponics for pollutant removal and food production: Principles, progress and future outlook," *Water*, 2023.

[13] A. Tuxun, Y. Xiang, Y. Shao, J. E. Son, M. Yamada, S. Yamada, K. Tagawa, B. Baiyin, and Q. Yang, "Soilless cultivation: Precise nutrient provision and growth environment regulation under different substrates," *Plants*, 2025.

[14] J. Arshad, F. Azeem, A. Butt, M. Chaudhary, R. S. Safdar, M. K. Joyo, I. Ahmad, P. Valsalan, and H. M. Ahmed, "Ai-enabled iot-based hydroponic farming with embedded automation and nutrient prediction," *Future Internet*, 2026.

[15] M. T. Naseem and W. Zaman, "Root-zone engineering in closed soilless horticulture: From plant physiology to sensor-guided control," *Horticulturae*, 2026.