Data logging and trend interpretation

Grant Kinkead
Independent Researcher, Melbourne, VIC, Australia
grant@kinkead.au

***Abstract*—Hydroponic management relies on measurements that vary through time, yet individual sensor readings are often interpreted without sufficient attention to their temporal and instrumental context. Logged data can reveal recurrent cycles, delayed responses, gradual drift and anomalous behaviour that isolated observations cannot show. This paper synthesises evidence relevant to time-series interpretation in hydroponic systems, with emphasis on measurement uncertainty, sampling frequency, calibration, sensor drift and causal inference. Evidence from sensor-guided hydroponics, conductivity measurement, telemetry, plant nutrition and plant physiology indicates that coordinated trends can support cautious hypotheses about system behaviour. However, records must be interpreted alongside acquisition intervals, sensor limitations, operating conditions and actuator states. Correlation between variables is insufficient to establish causation because environmental and biological variables may share drivers or interact through unmeasured pathways. The paper argues that logged trends are most valuable as a structured diagnostic and hypothesis-generating resource. Their practical value depends on maintaining measurement quality and preserving sufficient contextual information to distinguish a genuine system response from confounding, calibration error or sensor artefact.**

I. Introduction

Hydroponic systems contain coupled physical, chemical and biological processes whose relevant changes may occur at different rates. A single reading of pH, electrical conductivity, temperature or dissolved oxygen can describe the state observed at that moment, but it cannot reveal whether the state is recurring, stable, drifting or changing after an operational event. Reviews of precision approaches to soilless cultivation connect real-time sensing with predictive modelling, sensor drift detection and failure detection. This connection makes data logging central to interpretation rather than merely to record keeping: a temporal sequence can show cycles, lagging responses and anomalies that are invisible in an isolated value [1], [2].

The practical problem is that a graph can appear more certain than the underlying measurement warrants. Conductivity measurement research identifies the influence of measurement-frequency selection, electrode polarisation, cable resistance and calibration mismatch on recorded values. These factors constrain what may reasonably be concluded from a trend. Sampling too slowly can conceal short responses and obscure temporal order, while frequent acquisition does not remove systematic error. A useful logging strategy therefore requires a sampling interval that is meaningful for the process of interest and instruments whose calibration and uncertainty are known. Without those conditions, apparent drift or an apparent anomaly may be an artefact of the measurement chain [3], [4].

This paper explains why trends over time are often more useful than isolated sensor readings in hydroponic management, while defining the limits of trend interpretation. It examines how time-series data can reveal cycles, lag, drift and anomalies; how sampling frequency and uncertainty restrict inference; and why correlation does not establish causation. The analysis also considers cautious use of multi-variable trends to generate hypotheses about system behaviour. Plant research provides a relevant warning: observed phenotypes may not distinguish direct signalling from indirect metabolic or developmental effects. Likewise, an observed hydroponic association may be meaningful without proving a direct causal mechanism [5], [6].

II. Background and Scope

Coordinated measurements can reveal relationships that a single observation cannot represent. Research on nutrient availability assessed photosynthetic capacity, carbon acquisition costs and leaf-level conductance together, showing that changes in resource availability can be examined through linked plant responses. The value of such evidence lies in the coordinated pattern, not in reducing the system to one explanatory measurement. Applied to hydroponic records, concurrent trends may indicate that a changing nutrient environment coincides with altered crop function or resource demand. The implication is hypothesis generation: the trend can identify a relationship worth investigating, but it cannot by itself establish the pathway linking nutrient availability to plant response [7], [8].

The interpretive challenge becomes greater when nutrient solution behaviour arises from several interacting processes. A synthesis of organic nutrient-solution production identifies relationships among feedstock, microbial processing, nutrient balance, pH, oxygen, ammonium and crop demand, while also reporting heterogeneous outcomes. This evidence illustrates why a single pH or EC value is a limited representation of hydroponic conditions. Logged records can identify whether variables change together, whether one change follows another, and whether a pattern recurs under similar operating conditions. However, the reported heterogeneity means that a temporal association observed in one installation should not be transferred uncritically to another [9], [10].

Integrated interpretation is also important for root-zone reasoning. Research combining plant-available water and soil-aeration indices across textures and depths identifies constrained co-requirements rather than a simple optimum in one variable. Although those measurements are not direct

substitutes for hydroponic indicators, the study supports a broader principle: plant-relevant conditions may depend on the relationship among several measurements. A hydroponic time series is therefore more informative when it retains contextual variables and measurement locations. Such records can support cautious hypotheses about root-zone behaviour, but they cannot justify a causal account if relevant interacting conditions have not been measured [11], [12].

III. Core Concepts and Mechanisms

Cycles, lag, drift and anomalies describe different temporal features and require different interpretations. A cycle is a recurring pattern, lag is a delayed response following another change, drift is progressive movement over time, and an anomaly is an observation inconsistent with the surrounding record. Work on oxygenation models and validates linked temperature, dissolved oxygen and mass-transfer trends, demonstrating that water-system variables must be interpreted together and in temporal order. For hydroponic management, a dissolved-oxygen trend cannot be interpreted adequately without considering coincident temperature and operating conditions. The key implication is that logging supplies evidence about system dynamics, whereas a single reading only supplies a snapshot of one observed state [13], [14].

Trend interpretation also benefits from comparing independent indicators of plant condition. Hydroponic nutrient-deficiency research related visual symptoms, tissue concentrations, biomass, yield and secondary-metabolite outcomes under single-element deficiencies. The onset of visible symptoms did not always align with elemental analysis, demonstrating that one diagnostic channel can give an incomplete or misleading account of plant status. Logging solution conditions alongside crop observations can therefore strengthen diagnosis by revealing whether changes in measurements and symptoms follow comparable temporal patterns. The limitation is equally important: agreement among indicators does not prove that the logged solution variable directly caused the biological outcome [15].

Time-resolved physiological studies show how multivariable records can support inference without eliminating uncertainty. Measurements of cytosolic ATP, photosynthetic activity, respiratory perturbations, mutant phenotypes and growth have been combined to investigate coupled plant energy behaviour. The approach is informative because it links patterns across variables and times, rather than relying solely on an endpoint measurement. Yet the interpretation remains limited by the possibility of intermediate or unmeasured processes. Hydroponic data should be treated similarly. A coherent set of time-series patterns can prioritise explanations for testing, but a well-aligned graph is not equivalent to direct evidence of the underlying mechanism [16].

IV. Evidence Synthesis

Interpretability depends not only on which sensors are installed, but also on what accompanies their records. A hydroponic telemetry system reported sensor accuracy specifications, repeated acquisition and sampling cycles, logged sensor and actuator states, latency validation and limitations on generalisation. Recording actuator states is particularly important because a change in pH, EC or another variable may be an expected response to dosing, irrigation or another controlled action. Without those records, the same pattern may be misclassified as an unexplained anomaly. Telemetry data are consequently strongest when the measurement sequence is accompanied by information about system actions, timing and known limitations [17].

Correlation between variables does not by itself establish causation because variables may share a driver, interact indirectly or respond simultaneously to an unrecorded condition. Research on flowering integrates photoperiodic, genetic, carbohydrate, hormonal and phloem-related trends to formulate system-level hypotheses while distinguishing unresolved causal links. This distinction is directly relevant to hydroponic management. A repeated association between a solution measurement and crop behaviour may justify a focused hypothesis, but it does not demonstrate which variable caused the response. Causal interpretation requires evidence capable of distinguishing alternative pathways and separating the association from environmental or operational confounders [5].

Comparative nutrient research offers a disciplined language for trend interpretation. Studies of nutrient remobilisation compare nutrients, species, tissues and deficiency conditions to infer apparent net changes, while explicitly retaining alternative explanations. The phrase apparent net change is valuable because it separates what was measured from the biological process proposed to explain it. Hydroponic records should preserve the same distinction. A rising or falling sensor value is an observation; an explanation involving uptake, dosing, drift or system failure is an interpretation. Treating these as separate claims helps ensure that logged trends guide inspection and testing rather than becoming unsupported causal narratives [18].

V. Practical Implications and Limitations

Practical interpretation of hydroponic time series requires attention to conditions that shape the recorded response. Research on bicarbonate control relates pH, alkalinity, bicarbonate, acid dose, EC, temperature, network operation and crop conditions to control behaviour. This evidence indicates that a pH trend after an intervention should be read with its dosing history, water chemistry, temperature and operating record. The same numerical change can have different implications where these conditions differ. Consequently, calibration records and sensor uncertainty should remain associated with the logged data, and conclusions drawn in one installation should be treated cautiously when applied to another [19].

Environmental co-variation provides a clear example of why correlation is not causation. Vapour pressure deficit commonly covaries with temperature, radiation, drought and carbon dioxide, which limits causal isolation. The evidence reviewed also

notes that some mortality studies did not independently disentangle elevated vapour pressure deficit from high temperature. In hydroponic environments, related variables may likewise move together because of lighting, ventilation or irrigation conditions. A correlation can identify a repeatable pattern and suggest where to investigate, but it cannot determine which covarying factor was responsible. Interpretation should therefore explicitly identify plausible confounders rather than selecting the most convenient explanation [20].

Multi-variable trend analysis is most defensible when it is used to compare competing hypotheses. Evidence synthesising VPD, temperature, humidity, stomatal, hydraulic and carbon-response trends considers empirical, hydraulic and optimisation explanations rather than assuming one determinant accounts for observed behaviour. This approach is suitable for hydroponic management because complex records can be interpreted against several plausible mechanisms. Validation should preserve calibration history, acquisition timing, sensor locations, actuator states and relevant environmental conditions. Those records permit later comparison of explanations and make it more feasible to identify whether an apparent trend represents crop response, operational behaviour or measurement uncertainty [20].

VI. Conclusion

Logged data are more useful than isolated readings because they can reveal dynamic behaviour, including cycles, lag, drift and anomalies, while also placing individual measurements in operational context. Their value is conditional: sampling frequency, calibration mismatch, sensor drift, accuracy limits and confounding variables constrain what a trend can support. The reviewed evidence indicates that coordinated measurements can generate useful hypotheses about hydroponic system behaviour, but correlation alone cannot establish causation. The strongest interpretation combines time-series records with documented instrument performance, actuator histories and cautious consideration of alternative explanations [1], [3], [5], [17].

Acknowledgment

The author used artificial intelligence tools to assist with manuscript drafting and revision, and with LaTeX and bibliography preparation. The author reviewed all AI-assisted output and remains responsible for the final content.

References

[1] M. Elakrouch, M. Mohaddab, A. Rachid, and M. H. Jijakli, "Soilless agriculture at a crossroads: Strengths, challenges, and prospects of hydroponics, aquaponics, and bioponics in relation to precision farming," *Sustainability*, 2025.

[2] J. Liu, Y. Cai, X. Pei, and X. Yu, "Advances in research and application of techniques for measuring photosynthetically active radiation," *Remote Sens*, 2025.

[3] Y. Hibino, T. Asakai, T. Suzuki, and M. Ohata, "Applicable measuring range of two-electrode type commercial electrolytic conductivity meter for accurate determination of electrolytic conductivity," *Journal of Chemistry*, 2022.

[4] M. T. Naseem and W. Zaman, "Root-zone engineering in closed soilless horticulture: From plant physiology to sensor-guided control," *Horticulturae*, 2026.

[5] L. Corbesier and G. Coupland, "Photoperiodic flowering of arabidopsis: integrating genetic and physiological approaches to characterization of the floral stimulus," *Plant, Cell & Environment*, 2005.

[6] N. S. Mattson and J. E. Erwin, "The impact of photoperiod and irradiance on flowering of several herbaceous ornamentals," *Scientia Horticulturae*, 2005.

[7] J. A. Lankhorst, H. J. de Boer, D. C. Behling, P. L. Drake, E. A. Perkowski, and K. T. Rebel, "Nutrient availability increases photosynthetic capacity without altering the cost of resource use for photosynthesis," *AoB PLANTS*, 2025.

[8] L. Balázs, Z. Dombi, L. Csambalik, and L. Sipos, "Characterizing the spatial uniformity of light intensity and spectrum for indoor crop production," *Horticulturae*, 2022.

[9] I. Szekely and M. H. Jijakli, "Bioponics as a promising approach to sustainable agriculture: A review of the main methods for producing organic nutrient solution for hydroponics," *Water*, 2022.

[10] J. Huang, P. R. Fisher, W. R. Argo, K. Y. Jeong, and J. Altland, "Macronutrient solubility in response to the ph of soilless container substrates," *Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, 2025.

[11] B. Pragg, M. M. T. Lakshani, T. K. K. C. Deepagoda, K. Cameron, H. Di, T. J. Clough, S. Carrick, B. Elberling, and K. Smits, "Identification of plant soil water and soil aeration corequisites: A management tool," *Soil Science Soc of Amer J*, 2024.

[12] C. Grierson, E. Nielsen, T. Ketelaar, and J. Schiefelbein, "Root hairs," *The Arabidopsis Book*, 2014.

[13] C. D. Mihaela Constantin and M. Oprea, "Thermodynamic analysis of oxygenation methods for stationary water: Mathematical modeling and experimental investigation," *Thermo*, 2025.

[14] O. V. Obayomi, D. C. Olawoyin, O. Oguntimehin, L. S. Mustapha, S. O. Kolade, P. O. Oladoye, S. Oh, and K. S. Obayomi, "Exploring emerging water treatment technologies for the removal of microbial pathogens," *Current Research in Biotechnology*, 2024.

[15] D. Llewellyn, S. Golem, A. M. P. Jones, and Y. Zheng, "Foliar symptomology, nutrient content, yield, and secondary metabolite variability of cannabis grown hydroponically with different single-element nutrient deficiencies," *Plants*, 2023.

[16] A. M. Vera-Vives, P. Novel, K. Zheng, S. ling Tan, and M. Schwarzländer, "Mitochondrial respiration is essential for photosynthesis-dependent atp supply of the plant cytosol," *New Phytologist*, 2024.

[17] J. Arshad, F. Azeem, A. Butt, M. Chaudhary, R. S. Safdar, M. K. Joyo, I. Ahmad, P. Valsalan, and H. M. Ahmed, "Ai-enabled iot-based hydroponic farming with embedded automation and nutrient prediction," *Future Internet*, 2026.

[18] A. Maillard, S. Diquélou, V. Billard, P. Laîné, M. Garnica, M. Prudent, J.-M. Garcia-Mina, J.-C. Yvin, and A. Ourry, "Leaf mineral nutrient remobilization during leaf senescence and modulation by nutrient deficiency," *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 2015.

[19] C. Cambra, S. Sendra, J. Lloret, and R. Lacuesta, "Smart system for bicarbonate control in irrigation for hydroponic precision farming," *Sensors*, 2018.

[20] C. Grossiord, T. N. Buckley, L. A. Cernusak, K. A. Novick, B. Poulter, R. T. W. Siegwolf, J. S. Sperry, and N. G. McDowell, "Plant responses to rising vapor pressure deficit," *New Phytologist*, 2020.